BOMBAY.

TO-DAY.

Third Criminal Sessions, 10 a.m.

VESSEL ARRIVED.—The following vessel arrived yesterday :—Amana (s), from Perim.

VESSELS SAILED.—The following vessels sailed yesterday :—Peggie Doy (barque), for Mauritius; Clan Forbes (s), Dunkirk; and Ellora (s), Galle.

B. B. AND C. I. RAILWAY.—The approximate return of traffic for the week ending the 18th inst. shows an decrease of Rs. 53,087 in receipts.

FAIR.—The annual Wittoba Temple fair at Matoonga will be held to-morrow at that locality, and will continue for four successive days.

GREAT INDIAN PENINSULA RAILWAY.—The statement of traffic for the week ending the 25th inst. shows an increase of Rs. 1,57,444 in receipts.

CHOLERA IN SATARA.—We understand from Satara that cholera is raging fiercely in some of the talukas of that District, and that the Sanitary Commissioner of the District Magistrate are visiting the cholera-stricken localities to adopt the necessary measures to stamp out the outbreak.—*Deccan Herald.*

LADY REAY'S "AT HOME."—The first of her Excellency's "At Homes" this season was held on Tuesday evening, and was attended by the elite of the station. The evening was cool and pleasant and thoroughly enjoyable in every respect, the Governor's Band being in attendance. The large ball-room was converted for the occasion into a beautifully arranged conservatory of plants and flowers, and while light refreshments were [illegible] the [illegible]

HOUSEBREAKING AND THEFT.—The shop of the Prince of Wales Trading Company, situated in Carnac Road, was broken into on Monday night, the 27th inst. Two brass locks on the front door were found forced open, the premises entered, and the locks of four glass cases inside the shop also forced open and gold ornaments, of the collective value of Rs. 1,889, and silver ornaments of the collective value of Rs. 3,171, and Rs. 20 in cash, were taken from the cash box. The ornaments consisted of sharms, necklets, watch chains, bangles, finger rings, lockets, &c.

THE DIAMOND STUDDED LOCKET CASE.—The Motlabai, a wealthy Parsee lady residing in the Fort, from whose house a diamond studded looking-glass of the value of about Rs. 35,000, was stolen some days ago, had advertised through the Commissioner of Police a reward of Rs. 2,500 for information which would lead to the recovery of the property. She has since then received anonymous letters stating that, if she promised to give as a reward 25 per cent. of the value of the property, or about Rs. 9,000, the writer was prepared to give such information. Bai Motlabai, acting on the demand of the anonymous correspondent, has now come forward to offer a reward of about Rs. 9,000 for the recovery of her lost looking-glass.

COMMITTED TO THE SESSIONS.—Yesterday, at the Girgaum Police Court, Nuscomun Bourjorjee was brought up on remand and charged before Mr. E. Ryan with having, on the 4th May last, instituted criminal proceedings against Moorlee Mukun, with intent to cause injury to [illegible] falsely charged the said Moorlee Mukun with attempting to murder him on that date without just or lawful grounds for such proceedings. Mr. J. Pere, the acting Public Prosecutor, appeared to conduct the case for the prosecution. The circumstances of the case have already been published. The magistrate, after recording the evidence of several witnesses, formally committed the accused for trial at the present Criminal Sessions of the High Court upon the following charges: making a false charge of offence and perjury, by giving false evidence in a judicial proceeding.

THE KIRKEE FOX HOUNDS.—Parbutti Cross-roads was the fixture for Monday last, and long before it was daylight a large field had put in an appearance. At 5-20 the master made a move down the Satara Road and proceeded to draw the garden on the left. It was not before the hounds picked up the line of a travelling pack, who was afterwards viewed going over the [illegible] minutes in front of the hounds. Turning [illegible] added they ran hard as far as the Towers of [illegible], when the jack doubled back across the deep [illegible] below and caused a temporary check, but [illegible] left-handed the line was recovered, but scent failing rapidly the hounds were called off on the hill near Katraj. What after a very pretty hunting run of 25 minutes, during which the hounds were admirably handled. But for the dry state of the ground and consequent want of scent, there is but little doubt that they would have brought their quarry to hand. The hounds meet on (Thursday) at Banchra beyond the Paulan reservoir.—*Deccan Herald.*

THE NEW CANTONMENT MAGISTRATE AT POONA.—Colonel J. S. D. Bolton will officiate as Cantonment Magistrate at Poona, during the absence of Colonel G. D. Le Touche on duty at Aden, in temporary command of that station. Colonel Bolton has long been known as an earnest, hardworking officer, and as he is popular in the station, the news of his appointment will be hailed with general approval. He has long served the Government meritoriously in various capacities, and has been, for twenty-five years, in the Commissariat Department and three in the Political. He was last selected to adjust the taxes in and improve the sanitation of Mhow. These duties he fulfilled to the entire satisfaction of the inhabitants (whose burden of taxation he considerably reduced) while Sir Lepel Griffin, Agent to the Governor-General in Central India, and the General Officer Commanding the Station commended his services highly. We have every reason to conclude, therefore, that Colonel Bolton, whose experience has been so extensive and varied, will discharge his responsibilities here as faithfully and satisfactorily as he has done everywhere else, and earn for himself the gratitude and good-will of a tolerably over-taxed community.—*Ibid.*

A SERIOUS CHARGE AGAINST A SON-IN-LAW.—Mr. Dinshaw D. Davur appeared yesterday morning before Mr. Hamilton, and applied for a summons against a Parsee named Cursetji Bhikaji Mody, on a charge of criminal misappropriation and breach of trust preferred against him by his mother-in-law. The name of the complainant is Meherbai, widow of Hormusji Mancherji Parekh, and in her information she stated that the accused Cursetji had been married to her daughter, who died ten years ago. He used, however, to attend to any business she might entrust him with. One Soraji Nusserwanji Parekh was indebted to her in the sum of Rs. 2,000 which was lent by her to him. She asked Cursetji to recover the sum with interest on her behalf, and accordingly, on the 21st April, he recovered the principal, with Rs. 45, interest, from Nusserwanji, passing an acknowledgment of the receipt of the sum in its own handwriting in the complainant's name. Cursetji informed his mother-in-law that he had recovered the amount, and gave her Rs. 43, retaining the sum of Rs. 2,000, which he was requested by her to invest in Government loan notes. Since that day, the complainant stated she had repeatedly called upon him to bring her the notes or return the money, but he had failed to comply with her demand. His Worship granted a summons, making it returnable on Monday next.

THE NATIVE PRESS.

THE "CLAWS" OF THE PARSEES.

The *Times* has recently added one more instance of how it is sold at the hands of a few Anglo-Indians in matters relating to India. Referring to Maharaja Holkar's visit to England, the *Times* insinuates that the most discontented of our native Princes and Chiefs demand less from the British Government than the smallest Baba Vakil or a Parsee Brahmin. Such utterances are ridiculous. Is the writer aware that there are only three [illegible] civilians in the whole country who have ever had claim to anything? On the contrary, [illegible] of them had sided with the opponents of the Ilbert Bill.—*Jam-i-Jamshed.*

THE BOMBAY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

[illegible] to be seen whom Government will [illegible] to the Legislative Council in place of Mr. [illegible] Since the appointment of Mr. Becherdas A. Desai as no Gujarati has been honoured in this respect by Government we should be glad to know what this is owing to. Are there no men in the Gujarati community fit for the post, or is the Government displeased with the class from any [illegible] expect Lord Reay to remedy this [illegible] to a deserving section of the [illegible]—*Gujarat Mitra.*

[illegible] SERVICE.

[illegible] CHAPEL,

[illegible] DOUCHE [illegible] use of refuse [illegible] and coun- [illegible] not Weather.

THE INDIAN PRESIDENCY BANKS.

(*From the Bankers' Magazine.*)

WHEN we consider the population of the country, its extent, and its total trade, the number of banks in India is very small. There are no large local banks in India, except the three Presidency Banks, and their branches are only 37; the Bank of Bengal has 15 branches, the Bank of Madras 14, and the Bank of Bombay 8.

The cost of working branch banks in India under the present system is very expensive, and this is one reason of the small number. The Presidency Banks have achieved the great work of introducing the European system of banking into India, and they have extended their branches at one time, contracting them at another, as their experience dictated. The Bank of Bengal once had as many as 20 branches, the Bank of Madras had 18, and the Bank of Bombay 15; but there has been a retrograde movement, which is extraordinary considering the increasing demand for banking accommodation. The branches of the Presidency Banks cannot meet the banking requirements of some of the up-country towns; in the first place they are too expensive in their staff, for they employ a European manager for every branch bank, and a European inspector of branches, to pay him occasional visits. The banking business that would pay a native manager a salary of Rs. 200 per mensem, will not pay a European manager double that amount, which is about the minimum salary he would require; and in addition to the European's salary, there is the pay of a native, his assistance being required for the purpose of dealing with natives, whose business cannot always be readily understood by Europeans. The staff being expensive, the terms of business are also expensive, and therefore it is difficult to compete for banking business with native institutions, bankers, money-lenders, and native traders, whose expenses are in every way much below those of Europeans.

If natives could be entrusted with the management of the Presidency Branch Banks, there would immediately be a profitable business available, and a demand for many more branch banks in many parts of the country. The difficulties of introducing such branch banks in out-of-the way towns in India cannot be easily understood by persons who have not visited the country. The first consideration is naturally the quality and quantity of the trade of the place, and the means and standing of the inhabitants. The natives are vainly delighted with the idea of a bank, especially of a European bank being opened in their midst. Some offer to deposit money, there is never a lack of that, but the difficulty lies in the fact that a European is required to open and manage the bank, besides the native [illegible] which has been all of it, no [illegible] europe in does not and cannot know the natives as a native would. Perhaps there is no wonder at the ignorance of the manner in which natives in India have proved worthy of the trust of the European bankers, who have gone among them as foreigners and strangers.

The annual reports of the directors of the Presidency Banks for a number of years past show that their losses have been comparatively small, and this is, to some extent, at least, proof of the native's trustworthiness. Mistakes have been made by young and inexperienced branch managers, but we doubt whether the losses sustained in consequence of dishonesty on the part of the natives have amounted to anything approaching the distress which has occurred from like causes to similar branch managers in other countries.

That the position and means of the native traders in India have improved may easily be verified by the [illegible] the bankers keep of their customers. Year by year the names on the bankers' books increase in number, and the amounts for which they are considered good in credit with the banks also increase. The bankers' experience of the natives is specially worthy of notice. The banker has been the means of extending their trade and of extending their information, and how he has enabled them to increase their profits will be readily observed on a visit to the several branches of the Presidency Banks. Where, a few years ago, there was a solitary European firm and a few natives trading at an up-country seaport, a dozen or more European firms and many hundred native traders may now be found trading busily with the principal countries of the world. But a short time since, a steamer arriving at an up-country sea-port caused some excitement; now steamers run weekly to and fro nearly all the ports round the coast of India.

Our administrators in India know the natives by ruling them, our soldiers by fighting with and against them, our merchants by trading with them, our clergy and educators by teaching them and preaching to them; but who knows the natives so well as the banker who takes charge of their money, investigates their means and standing, and trusts them with his money? As a rule, [illegible] and to his experience, he finds just as they are found to be a people who are quietly ruled, who will fight well with us, and fight well against us, who are quick at a bargain, and patient in learning, that they are trustworthy in their relations with them, and profitable customers to the banker.

In opening an up-country branch bank in India, when the premises are settled, the first difficulty is the selection of a suitable native for the appointment of chief of the natives of the office. In Southern India he is usually called the cash-keeper. He must be a native of means and position, able to give security, usually in cash. He should also have much information about the trade and means and standing of the people of the town, as he will have much to do in fixing the credit of the customers of the bank. In many cases he will be the only person the manager of the bank has to assist him in interpreting the many languages of the people.

Frequently bills are brought to the bank written in different vernaculars, some which are known to no one in the Bank. The native, on whose account such bills are presented for purchase or discount, will be made known to be good for more than the amount, but often at the time of presentation the proceeds will be paid to him, or his agent, without his having had any previous transactions with the bank. The bills of the principal native money-lenders, or sowcars, drawn in the vernaculars, have hitherto rarely been unpaid. There are bills in the vernaculars in the hands of natives in India, that may be as freely and as safely cashed as Bank of England notes. It has been a marvel to some persons in India and elsewhere how a single European can make his way, as a banker, in some of the up-country stations in India, where he is unknown, beyond the position such introduction as the standing and credit of the institution he represents gives him in the mind of the natives; but such are the people and their surroundings that a European with a large amount of money may safely remain into their midst, and within the short space of a month be in the position of a large holder of the money of the people, and a considerable lender of his money to them. With the assistance of his head native, the European banker goes into the bazar; there he finds natives sitting in their little boutiques, some on the ground, some on benches, buying and selling, talking, laughing, squalling, whispering, sleeping, mostly traders of all kinds, with here and there the money-lender and money changer, the native banker probably, as he appeared before banking was known in Europe. With the assistance of his head native, the European banker can make himself known or probably become known by every intelligent native, in a small up-country bazaar, before he has walked through it so quickly does the presence of a European attract their attention. The topic of conversation in the bazaar, as in so many places in India among the natives, is chiefly confined to money, and eating and drinking, as they say in the Hindustanee vernacular: "A man is always floundering in the thought of food." You may hear very soon without much enquiry who possesses money and other property, with many particulars about it.

THE ALLEGED SWINDLING BY A NATIVE SOLDIER.

MR. CRAWLEY-BORNEY yesterday resumed the case in which Shaik Dawood Shaik Cassim, a private in the Marine Battalion, is charged with cheating by impersonation, the Government Savings Bank, in respect of Rs. 473.

Mr. Brown prosecuted.

Mr. Cannon was recalled and examined by the Court as to the routine of the office.

Mr. Brown then called Tookaji Doonak, a private in the Marine Battalion, who said: This is my signature at the back of this draft. I made it at the Bank. The accused asked me to accompany him as he had to draw money for the bandmaster and he wanted a witness. The accused's name is Shaik Dawood. I have known him for the last three years. I made a communication to the bandmaster the same day in the evening. I told him that Dawood had been to the Bank to draw Rs. 15 on a cheque of his, and I had signed some paper in connection with it. That night the accused was arrested by the regimental native. I did not know Shaik Cannan, and do not know the name.

The bandmaster denied that he had given any cheque to the accused to cash. But the same day the last witness told him about it the accused came to witness and begged him to state that the cheque was his, and that he had sent him to cash it. This the witness positively declined to do and had accused arrested by the subedar-major.

The accused asked Mr. Cannon in cross-examination: Does the man to whom the money belongs, make any complaint? No, his whereabouts are not known.

Do you know he is my brother?—No.

Syed Adam Syed Ibrahim, the subedar-major of the Marine Battalion, where he had been for the last 21 years and 7 months, said he knew the accused, whose name was Shaik Dawood. Witness knew one Shaik Osman, a recruit boy, who had been transferred from the 18th Regt. N. I. to the Battalion about six years ago. At the time of the transfer he has about 10 years of age, and remained there ten or eleven months. He was discharged on account of theft, which had occurred at the wing commandee's quarters. Since that time witness had not seen him. He did not know whether the lad was a brother of the accused. They were both in the regiment at the time of Osman's discharge. Witness did not know the name of the father of the accused.

In cross-examination:—One day when the accused was away at ball-practice a private of a Beloochi Regiment asked after him and said that he had the pass-book of one Shaik Osman and he heard that he (accused) was drawing from his account. He stated that this Shaik Osman was a relation of his. I made no inquiries about the matter beyond merely reporting it to the Adjutant. This Beloochi private never came again. The father's name of a recruit is not used when he is called, but the name is on the rolls.

Mr. Brown here remarked that that was all the evidence he brought. The only question the accused appeared to ask was to show that he was the owner of the account, or that he was the heir of the Shaik Osman, who is dead, and he suggests that he had the authority of Shaik Osman. Mr. Brown submitted that even if he had, he had clearly committed the offence of impersonation.

His Worship agreed that that was quite true, the only thing they wanted was to clear up whether there was any relationship.

Mr. Brown argued that even if there were that did not carry the matter any further. The Osman could not be the brother of the accused whose father's name he admitted was Currim and not Yacoob. And even if he were a cousin, that could not affect the question. No one was allowed to rob his cousin. His Worship remarked under any circumstances there appeared to have been extreme irregularity, but the point was, was there any dishonesty? Even respectable natives acted foolishly in their business matters, and it was quite possible, if there were a relationship, the accused might have thought he was justified in drawing on the account. It was of course highly irregular and improper. The hypothesis was possible.

Mr. Brown replied that could be so, but they would of course know it, but the evidence the accused might call in defence.

The case was then adjourned to Saturday, at noon.

DAMAGES FOR A BREACH OF CONTRACT.

YESTERDAY, at the Small [illegible] delivered the following judgment in the case of Anoopchund Hemchund and others versus Champsey Oguruchunde and Mr. Hormusjee Dhabhoy, Mr. Pollock appeared for the plaintiffs and Mr. Robertson, instructed by Mr. Bland, solicitor, represented the defendant.

His Honour said:—The plaintiff in this case was to recover from defendant the sum of Rs. 543-6, under the following circumstances. On the 2nd November 1886, the defendant agreed to sell to the plaintiff 50 full-pressed bales Broach ginned cotton "good" class of the crop of the year 1943, at the price of Rs. 248-8 per candy, deliverable between the 15th and 25th March 1887. The plaintiff alleges that the price of this description of cotton continued to rise after the contract was made, and that on the 18th of March 1887, some days before the last day for delivery, the defendant agreed to settle the contract by re-purchasing the same cotton at the price of Rs. 237-8 per candy. The plaintiff now claims the difference between the two prices less rebate. It was contended on behalf of the defendant that the agreement of the 2nd November, 1886, was a wagering contract and void under Sec. 30 of the Indian Contract Act. Mr. Hormusjee for the plaintiff drew the attention of the Court to the case of Juggernath Sew Bax *vs.* Ram Dyall (Indian Law Report IX. Calcutta Sec 791) which was the decision of Chief Justice Garth and Mr. Justice Wilson on a reference from the Calcutta Court of Small Causes, that admitted oral evidence of the contract being a wagering one was not admissible. In that case the defendant had entered into a written agreement with the plaintiff to purchase from him at a future date Rs. 25,000 worth of Government paper, and the suit was brought to recover damages in consequence of the defendant's neglect to take delivery of the paper. The defence was that the contract was a wagering one and void under the Contract Act, and the Judge of the Small Cause Court so found on the evidence. The High Court, however, held that the written contract was unambiguous, and that under Sec. 92 of the Evidence Act it was not competent to the Court below to go into oral evidence for the purpose of varying or contradicting its terms. The present case is on all fours with the case decided in Calcutta. The written contract is for the sale and delivery by a certain date of 50 bales of cotton. Following the decision of the Calcutta High Court, I must refuse to receive evidence that the parties did not intend to give and take delivery of cotton as provided in the contract, but to pay differences in price. This case is much stronger than the case in Calcutta, for before due date the defendant arranged with plaintiff what sum he shall pay him as damages for not fulfilling his contract, and he cannot after that be heard to say that it was a wagering contract. But it is argued there is no evidence that the defendant agreed to pay the plaintiff the damages claimed. The second contract of the 18th March 1887, it is said, is a separate and independent contract which makes no reference to the first, and cannot be connected with it by oral evidence. The plaintiff in his evidence stated that before the due date for delivery, one Wastaram came to him and stated that the defendant's cotton was not likely to arrive and that he was desirous of settling with him at the then market rate; that he subsequently agreed through a broker Lalji Dongarsee to settle for Rs. 237-8-0 per candy and the broker thereupon brought him the written contract after 18th March signed by defendant (Exhibit B) and he signed and gave the broker a counterpart (Exhibit B) which was delivered to the defendant and was produced by his advocate at the trial. What did the defendant mean when he signed the second contract and accepted the counterpart? Surely he did not mean that the parties would stop thereat, the idle ceremony of the plaintiff taking delivery from defendant of 50 bales and paying him for them at the rate of Rs. 294-8 per candy, and immediately after returning the same bales to the defendant and receiving payment from him at Rs. 237-8. Indeed as to the time for the performance of the second contract that was an impossibility. The contract is dated the 25th of March and stipulates for delivery between the 15th and [illegible] March. Clearly the parties intended that the difference only between the two prices should be paid by the one and received by the other. It is a matter of daily experience that this repurchase is the common mode of settling these contracts if an arrangement has been come to by the parties before the date of delivery. On the evidence of the plaintiff taken in connection with the second contract produced by him, I hold that the agreement to pay the difference claimed has been proved and that the plaintiff is entitled to a verdict. Costs certified, Rs. 34.

INSOLVENT DEBTORS' COURT.

AT a sitting of the Court yesterday, the following among other matters were heard before Mr. Justice Bayley:—

RE WILLIAM ALEXANDER CARSAL.—Mr. Manker, on behalf of the insolvent, asked for an interim order. The schedule was filed some time ago, and an interim order was granted the insolvent. He had now served his creditors with fresh notices in regard to the present application. His Lordship granted an interim order for a month only, remarking that the insolvent had done nothing since he filed the schedule in August last, that he must prosecute the matter of his insolvency without delay, or else that his petition would be dismissed.

RE GURRAY JOURDAIN.—Mr. Dhairyavan, on behalf of the insolvent, applied for an interim order, which was granted. There was no opposition.

RE PURSHOTUM JANARDHUN.—On the motion of Mr. Dhairyavan, the insolvent was granted leave to amend his schedule.

THIRD CRIMINAL SESSIONS.

AT the Criminal Sessions of the High Court yesterday, the following cases were heard before the Hon. Mr. Justice Jardine and a common jury:—

CAUSING GRIEVOUS HURT.

In the case of Raghoo Narayen, the peon in the Salt Department, who was found guilty on Tuesday, of voluntarily causing grievous hurt to one Mahadoo, a fisherman, on the [illegible] of May last, Mr. Jamsetjee Jeejeebhoy read the sentence of the Court.

His Lordship said that the majority of the jury, after a full consideration of the facts of the case, had very rightly found the prisoner guilty of voluntarily causing grievous hurt. On the evidence it was clear that he, with his sword, had nearly cut off the leg of the man Mahadoo and that he died from the stroke. While the prisoner was justified in trying to arrest the man, the jury, very properly, found that he was not justified in giving him such a cut with the sword. What he did was very much the same as executing a man for theft before he had been tried. There were four peons, armed with sticks, while Mahadoo was unarmed, and it was quite probable that they might have arrested him without doing him any such injury. It appeared to his Lordship that it was a very cruel action. The killing of a man, under any circumstances, was a very serious matter; but the jury had found that the prisoner had not intended to kill, and there was no doubt that that was so. His Lordship concluded that the sentence he would pass would be similar to what judges had thought fit to pass in cases of the kind, and he sentenced the accused to two years' rigorous imprisonment.

ATTEMPTED MURDER OF A HUSBAND.

The trial of Ladee, woman, on a charge of attempting to murder her husband, Dyal Soga, a ghorawalla, by administering to him a quantity of sulphate of copper on the 15th April last, was continued before his Lordship and the same common jury. Mr. Basil Scott, instructed by the Acting Public Prosecutor, appeared for the prosecution; and Mr. Rivett-Carnac for the defence.

Several more witnesses were examined for the prosecution.

Prisoner, in answer to the Judge: Narayen gave me some *marthoothoo*, saying, give it to your husband and kill him. As he told me to put it, I did so. I put it in some vegetable intended for his dinner.

The Judge: What happened then?

Prisoner: My husband asked me to dinner with me; said he complained of a bitter taste.

The Judge: Why did you put it in his dinner?

Prisoner: Because that boy told me to do so.

The Judge: Do you wish to say anything else?

Prisoner: No.

The Judge: Have you made these statements of your own free will?

Prisoner: No.

The Judge: Has any body told you what answers to make to my questions put you just now?

Prisoner: No.

The Judge: Why did the boy tell you to put this stuff into the vegetable?

Prisoner: I don't know why he told me so; but he did tell me to do it.

The Judge: How many days after he told you did you put it in the vegetable?

Prisoner: The day after he gave it to me.

The Judge: Did you know at the time that it would endanger life?

Prisoner: No, I did not know.

The Judge: What result did you expect to follow?

Prisoner: I said I would not put it in. He said nothing would happen, and that I should put it.

The Judge: Has he any enmity with your husband?

Prisoner: Yes.

The Judge: About what matter?

Prisoner: They had a quarrel once in my father's stable.

Mr. Rivett-Carnac submitted there was not sufficient evidence, as required by the Code, to sustain the charge of attempting to murder. There was not sufficient [illegible] evidence whether sulphate of copper was a poisonous or merely a stupefying drug. In the words of the section it must be shown that sulphate of copper was [illegible] or noxious thing or unwholesome drug, unless taken in a large quantity. The prosecution had failed [illegible] on this.

The Judge held that there was evidence to go to the jury as to the administration of the poison.

Mr. Rivett-Carnac, in addressing the jury, said they need not now consider the charge of an attempt to murder, which was another, which evidence to support; and that they must confine their attention to the minor charge of administering an unwholesome or stupefying drug. The learned counsel submitted there was not a word in the evidence to show who put the drug in the vegetable. If there was any person to be prosecuted it was the boy Narayen, who induced the prisoner to put the drug in the vegetable, or, at any rate, he ought to have been charged at the same time with her.

His Lordship, in summing up the case directed the jury to acquit prisoner on the first head of the charge of an attempt to commit murder. As to the second and minor charge, his Lordship read portions of the evidence, commenting upon material points in the case.

The jury, after a short consultation, returned a verdict (seven against two) of guilty on the second charge of administering poison. Prisoner was sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for three years.

THE FRAUDS IN THE CURRENCY EXCHANGE DEPARTMENT.

The Hon. Mr. Macpherson, Advocate-General, said he was to appear for the Crown on the charges of embezzlement and forgery preferred against Thomas Thomas; and he asked for the assistance of a special jury for the trial of the cases.

Mr. Russell, who was engaged for the defence, said there were no features in the case to call for the assistance of a special jury; and there were no counts which a common jury could not try.

The Advocate-General said that a common jury was of course competent to try any case, but a special jury was asked for when there was reason to suppose complications as in this case, which involved charges of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

SPECIAL SHORT ADVERTISEMENTS.

The scale for these Advertisements is as follows:—Not exceeding 3lines, Re. 1; each succeeding line, As. 8. No trade advertisements are admitted under the scale.

TO BE LET, ALFRED THEATRE, opposite the Victoria Terminus Station. Any day in the week Wednesdays and Saturdays also available. Apply to COOVERJEE NOWROJEE CONTRACTOR, No. 26, Borah Bazaar Street.

EVERYBODY, either in Bombay or Up-country, have expressed their great satisfaction at our Kioto Tea Set of 27 Pieces for Rs. 6-14 only. Marvellously Cheap.—SHROFF BROTHERS.

WANTED a competent BOOK-KEEPER for an Indent Firm, able to correspond in English fairly and writing a good hand. Apply "O. R." care of this paper.

ARTISTS' MATERIALS—A complete stock, Walking Sticks and Folding Chairs at Rs. 7 each. Drugs and Druggists' Sundries sold cheap.—J. BROTHERS & Co., 49, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay.

ROPES FOR SALE.—HARTON'S OILED COIR and MANILLA. Apply OWEN & ORELL, Marine street.

ILFORD DRY PLATES, all sizes, Rapid and Ordinary.

J. NEUBERG, Bombay.

TENNIS TROUSERS, Rs. 9; TENNIS Coats, Rs. 13; Tennis Shirts, Rs. 6.—The CASH BAZAAR, Ld., Bombay and Poona.

BEST ELECTRO and SILVER PLATE and Table Cutlery, a large and varied assortment, just opened.—MARCKS and Co., Limited, Bombay.

GALVANIZED CORRUGATED IRON SHEETS, just arrived. 18, 20, 22 and 24 gauge, 6, 7, and 8 feet by 2 inches wide. Richardson & Cruddas, Bombay Metal Mart.

SUNSHADES.—A large and really choice assortment just to hand, latest productions, Rs. 7-8 to Rs. 25 each.—BADHAM, PILE & Co., Limited, Rampart row, Bombay.

TUBEROUS-ROOTED BEGONIA, Rs. 9 per Dozen; Caladiums 50 distinct named continental sorts, Rs. 18 per Dozen.—PALEKAR and Co., Esplanade Cross Road, Bombay.

SHORT, BOARD and RESIDENCE TO LET, Furnished or Unfurnished, for 4 Rooms, Reasonable Rent. Suitable for Bachelors, also Board only. Beta, *Gazette Office*.

CHEAP SUITS! CHEAP SUITS!!—Coat as, Trousers, Guaranteed all Wool, Rs. 15. Trousers per pair Rs. 7. Trial Order solicited.—JOHN MAHOMED & Co., 15, Meadow Street, Fort.

GOODS of every description, purchased at the lowest market prices, and promptly forwarded to all parts of India. Commission very moderate. KANNY & Co., General Agents, 6, Rampart-row.

VERY OLD SCOTCH WHISKY, RARE QUALITY, from JOHN MATHER & SON, GLASGOW. Shipped by DANIELL & Co., London, Rs. 24 per doz. nett. Sole Agents, R. RAMCHANDRA & Co., Military Square.

FOR BEST Waterproof Suits, Cloaks, Coats, Superfine Merino Waterproof Coats, Golosshes Angola, Scotch and West of India Tweeds, Striped and Coloured Socks and Stockings for Ladies, Gentlemen and Children, always a qly to V. A. LACKA & Co., No. 200, Chuckla Street, near Jakeria Masjid.

CHOPS, STEAKS, Breakfasts, Tiffins, Ices, Tea, Coffee, Wines, Spirits. PEARSE'S Restaurant, Church-gate-street, near the Post Office.

SERIOUS REFLECTIONS and other CONTRIBUTIONS by the late GEORGE ARBUTHNOT MACKAY under the *nom de plume*, OUR POLITICAL ORPHAN. Cloth bound, Rs. 2-8; postage, 4 annas.

THROUGH ASIATIC TURKEY, from BOMBAY to the BOSPHORUS; by GRATTAN GEARY, with map and illustrations. Crown 8 vo. in two volumes. Cloth bound, Rs. 6; postage, 6 annas

REVENUE ENHANCEMENTS.—By W. WEDDERBURN, Bombay Civil Service. Price annas 8; postage free.

AGRICULTURAL BANKS for INDIA; by W. WEDDERBURN, Bombay Civil Service. Annas 8; postage, 1 anna.

LAYS OF THE LAW.—Being a selection of LEADING CASES from the BOMBAY HIGH COURT REPORTS done in VERSE after the manner of various other great poets; by W. E. H. Price, Re. 1-8; postage, anna 1.

COLLECTION of LEGISLATIVE ACTS, comprising Sea Customs Act, Customs Tariff Act, Indian Arms Act, The Opium Act, The Bombay Salt Act, The Salt Act, The Stamp Act, The Indian Post Act, The Native Passenger Ships Act, The Abkari Act Periodical Survey of Steam Vessels Act, The Steam Vessel Survey Amendment Act, and the Bombay Port Trust Act. Price, Rs. 5; interleaved, Rs. 6-8.

PERMANENT SETTLEMENT for the DEKKHAN; by W. WEDDERBURN, Bombay Civil Service. Price, 8 annas; postage, 1 anna.

PRICE CURRENT.—Published once a week, contains shipping arrivals, departures, exports, imports, and other commercial news. Subscription, Rs. 10 per year.

SUGGESTIONS regarding the new CODE of CIVIL PROCEDURE; by W. WEDDERBURN, Bombay Civil Service. Price, Re. 1 postage, 1 anna.

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT by SIVA.—A paper on the introduction of representative institutions in India. 8 annas; postage, 1 anna.

OVERLAND SUMMARY, published weekly on the departure of English mail. 24 pages of the *Pall Mall Budget* size, containing all the information of the previous week carefully arranged: Army Orders, &c. Indian subscription, Rs. 12 per year, free of postage. English subscription Rs. 17 per year, including postage.

BOMBAY GAZETTE BI-WEEKLY, cheap edition for non-resident readers, published twice a week, same size as the *Gazette* Daily. Subscription Rs. 12 per year; postage, Rs. 3.

THE VELOCIPEDE, its history, and how to use it; by A. DENIS, Agent to the French Velocipede Co. Price, Re. 1-4; postage free.

MACLEAN'S GUIDE to BOMBAY and BOMBAY DIRECTORY for 1886. With photographs of Kattywar Princes. Cloth bound, Rs. 5; postage, 4 annas.

WILLS in four different forms. Annas 8 each.

POWER of ATTORNEY Forms in two different forms. Annas 6 each.

BOMBAY GAZETTE DAILY, Rs. 48 for one year, 25 for 6 months, 13 for 3 months, Re. 6 per month, in advance; postage extra.

BOMBAY GAZETTE BUDGET, published every Tuesday, specially prepared for Indian subscribers with 8 pages Supplement of English news in addition to 24 pages of Indian matter. Rates, Rs. 12 per year for Indian subscribers, post free; and Rs. 17, including postage for the United Kingdom.

INDISPENSABLE TO TRAVELLERS IN INDIA.

THE INDIAN TRAVELLERS' GUIDE,

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION containing time and fare tables of the Railway Companies, steam-boats and Dak companies, the routes between the principal Indian towns, tables of through-routes and fares from Bombay to places in India and abroad, short descriptive notices of all places of interest, the hill-sanitaria, &c. With a new Coloured Map of India, showing every Railway station on the Indian lines. Price eight annas. Postage Free published on the first of every month at the *Bombay Gazette* Office.

AUTHORISED

BUGGY, SHIGRAM AND VICTORIA FARES,

With an alphabetical index of Buildings and Places mentioned in the Fare list,

with number of Stand, &c.

Complete and easy reference

Size 3 inches by 4½ inches.

Can be easily carried in the waistcoat pocket.

Cloth Limp Cover.

Price, Annas 4.

To be had at the *Bombay Gazette* Press.

SALES BY AUCTION.

CRAWFORD AND COMPANY, 7, MARINE-STREET.

SALE OF SUPERIOR MOULMEIN AND RANGOON TEAK SCANTLINGS.

This day, THURSDAY, the 30th instant, at 4 p.m., Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. will sell by Public Auction, at Tank Bunder, by order of Rai Bahadoor Bhugwandass Bhagla,

Ex s.s. Sirdhana.

103 tons superior Moulmein teak scantlings.
50 " " Rangoon teak scantlings of various sizes.

In lots to suit purchasers.

INDIAN GOLD JEWELLERY FOR SALE.

On MONDAY, the 4th July, at 3 p.m., Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. are instructed to sell by Public Auction at their rooms on account of the concerned the undermentioned jewellery made of pure Indian gold consisting of

A pair of bracelets set with diamonds, chains, lockets, bracelets, necklace, brooches, earrings, one brooch set with large emerald hair pins, rings set with precious stones, such as diamond, emerald, &c., now on view.

SALE OF A VALUABLE PLATE CHEST.

On MONDAY next, the 4th July, at 3 p.m., Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. are instructed to sell by Public Auction at their rooms the undermentioned contents of a Plate Chest, the property of the late GENERAL HANCOCK—

Silver spoons and forks toast, rack, cruet stands, dishes with covers and handles, dish covers with handles, waiter of pure silver made in India, electroplate fish knives and covers, tea and coffee service, egg-stand, waiter, candlesticks, butter pots, cream jug, liquor stand, ivory handled desert knives and forks, table and desert knives and covers, &c.

The property will be on view on and after Friday 1st July.

On THURSDAY, the 7th day of July, sale commencing at 1 p.m., Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. are instructed to sell by Public Auction at No. 5, Grant Buildings, Lower Colaba, the valuable and handsome French-polished blackwood and teakwood household furniture, best plated ware, rich crockery and glassware, a strong open carriage with horse and harness, handsome China and Japanese flower vases, rich table ornaments, handsome oleographs, Hink's table and hanging kerosine oil lamps, Brussels carpet, &c., the property of G. H. HENDERSON, Esq.

Full particulars will appear hereafter and the property will be on view 3 days before the sale.

SALE of LANDED PROPERTIES at Bensalpoora or Oomercarry Row otherwise called Tantanpoora without the Fort

On THURSDAY, the 11th inst., at 4 p.m., Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. will sell by Public Auction on the premises by order of Mr. Cassum Mahomed the legal heir and representative of the late Mahomed Meetha the mortgagee and on account and at the risk of the mortgagors Succaram Wittojee Pimpnikar of sale given in the Pimpulkar in pursuance and further charge dated respectively the 3rd day of October 1880 and 15th day of October 1881 and in the indenture of mortgage dated the 16th day of July 1883 the following landed properties, viz.:—

Firstly. All that piece of Fazundaree ground with the messuage or dwelling house standing thereon, situate without the Fort of Bombay at Bengalpoora or Oomercarry Row otherwise called Tantanpoora in the Registration subdistrict of Mandvie assessed by the Collector of municipal rates and taxes under No. 118 containing by admeasurement 25 feet and 7 inches in breadth and 46 feet in length or thereabouts, and bounded on the east by the sweeper's passage and house of Ramjee Dadoo, on the west by the public road, on the north by the property of Khoja Mahomedbooy Rowjee, and on the south by the house hereinafter secondly described.

Secondly. All that piece of Fazundaree ground together with the messuage or dwelling house standing thereon, situate without the Fort of Bombay on the eastern side of Bengalpoora or Oomercarry Row, otherwise called Tantanpoora, in the subdistrict of Mandvie, assessed by the Collector of municipal rates and taxes under old No. 116 and new No. 119, containing by admeasurement 17 feet in breadth and 29 feet in length or thereabouts, and bounded on the east by the sweeper's passage, on the west by the public passage, on the north by the house of Ramoobai widow of Dhondiba Shimpee, and on the south by the house or stable of Khoja Ludha Currim.

For further particulars and conditions of sale application may be made to the undersigned.

SALE OF VALUABLE PROPERTY IN RAMPART ROW, KNOWN AS TEMPLE BARS.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.

Suit No. 603 of 1877.

Ruttonjee Dossabhoy Baxterna, of Bombay, Parsee, residing at Borah Bazaar Street, within the Fort of Bombay ..... *Plaintiff.*

Dadabhoy Dossabhoy Baxterna, also of Bombay, Parsee, residing at Mazagon in Bombay aforesaid, Executor of the 1st Will and Testament of Khurseebai, widow of the late Dossabhoy Eduljee Baxterna, deceased ..... *Defendant.*

Pursuant to direction contained in the decree made in the above suit, dated the 9th day of March, 1886, the following immoveable property will be sold by Public Auction in one lot, on the premises hereafter mentioned, on WEDNESDAY, the 27th day of July, 1887, at three o'clock in the afternoon, by Messrs. CRAWFORD and Co. under the joint directions of Messrs. Little, Smith, Freere and Nicholson, attorneys for the abovenamed plaintiff and Messrs. Payne, Gilbert and Sayani, attorneys for the abovenamed defendant.

PARTICULARS.

All the estate and interest of the abovenamed plaintiff Ruttonsee Dossabhoy Baxterna and of the abovenamed defendant Dadabhoy Dossabhoy Baxterna, in all that piece or parcel of land or ground, with the messuage or building thereon, known as Rampart Row in Medows Street, within the Fort of Bombay, in the sub-district of Fort comprising by admeasurement 25 square yards or thereabouts registered by the Collector of Land Revenue under Collector's old No. 87, Collector's new No. 497, old Survey No. 1,382, and new Survey No. 9456 and by the Collector of Assessment under No. 17, and bounded as follows:—On or towards the east by Medows Street aforesaid, on or towards the west by Rampart Row aforesaid and on or towards the north by the property of Hyderally Cassumjee Borah, and on or towards the south by the office of the Paper Currency Department, and which messuage and building formerly occupied by Mr. C. Bomanjee as lessee thereof is now in occupation of Mr. C. Marcks as a monthly tenant subject to the same terms as those contained in the lease Mr. C. Bomanjee and is now known as the Temple Bar.

For further particulars and condition of sale application may be made at the office of Messrs. Little, Smith, Freere, and Nicholson, attorneys for the abovenamed plaintiff, No. 79, Medows Street, Fort or at the office of Messrs. Payne, Gilbert and Sayani, attorneys for the abovenamed defendant in Rampart Row, Fort. Dated this 9th day of June, 1887.

CRAWFORD & CO.

Bombay, June 30, 1887.

DR. F. B. SEERVAI,

SURGEON DENTIST

NO. 3, HORNBY ROW,

Opposite the Bombay General Post Office.

THE TALK OF POONA!!!

THE FASHIONS!

AT SOUTHWELL & AUSTIN'S,

Specially Selected by Mrs. SOUTHWELL in Paris and London for the

POONA SEASON.

SOUTHWELL AND AUSTIN,

DRESS-MAKERS, MILLINERS,

EAST STREET, POONA. | APOLLO STREET, BOMBAY.

SURGEON-BONE SETTER,

FAKIRJI RATANJI, L. M. & S.,

TREATS cases of accidents and injuries scientifically as well as according to the approved native system.

No. 71, Abdool Rahman Street.

(Sir Jamsetjee Jeejeebhoy Street.)

SCHIEDMAYER'S PIANOS.

CALCUTTA EXHIBITION.

THE HIGHEST AWARD FOR

SYMPATHETIC SQUARE PIANOS,

SOUNDY & CO., LD., BOMBAY

Sole Agents in India for the

SCHIEDMAYER PIANOFORTE FABRIK

STUTTGART.

THE only maker of that name, whose instruments obtained ONE GOLD and TWO SILVER MEDALS with first-class Certificates, proving the superiority of their Pianos over all others exhibited.

We would caution intending purchasers not to confound the name with that of SCHIEDMAYER AND SOEHNE.

See our illustrated price-list free by post.

SOUNDY & CO., LD., BOMBAY.

PERFECT PEBBLE SPECTACLES.

THOROUGHLY adapted to correct the various faults of refraction of defective Eyes. May be had from

LAWRENCE AND MAYO,

OPHTHALMIC OPTICIANS,

Opticians to the principal Ophthalmic Surgeons in India,

London, Calcutta and Bombay.

Our pamphlet: "Ignorance the Cause of Numerous Eye-Diseases," price post-paid 4½ annas.

PRICE LIST ON APPLICATION.

COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE

WEDNESDAY EVENING, JUNE 29.

YESTERDAY'S financial telegram from London reports no change whatever in the various items usually quoted by Reuter. Consols remain at 101⅛, the 3½ per cent. Indian Sterling Loan at 102½, and French Rentes in Paris at 108¾.

The Mincing Lane markets for Seeds and General Produce continue unaltered, but from Liverpool No. 2 Soft White Wheat comes 3d. per cental lower at 6s. 5d.

COTTON in Liverpool yesterday was steady, with sales estimated at 8,000 bales, of which 700 were Surats. Spot prices were unmoved, as also Americans to arrive, except only the more distant positions, which are quoted 1-64d. lower. From New York ready Mid-Uplands come 1-16 cent, October deliveries 4 points lower, but August unchanged.

Locally the Cotton market continues quite stagnant. The Mills have not been buying at all, and for export transactions are few and far between. We hear of some Good Pressed Dholleras having been done at Rs. 188, and there are sellers of Westerns at Rs. 183, but no buyers at the rate.

WHEAT continues exceedingly quiet, the weaker advices from Home rendering exporters less willing to buy than ever. There is some slight demand for Ek Dhani, but mostly at about one anna under sellers' views. Hard Wheats are not quite so strongly held. The following are quoted rates, but they must be regarded as more buyers' than sellers ideas:—

Fine Pessy	Rs. 4-7	per cwt.
No. 1 do.	" 4-6	"
No. 1 Club Delhi	" 4-5	"
Red do.	" 3 15-6	"
Hard Yellow	" 4-9-6	"
Red Ahmedabad	" 4-4-6	"
Hard Red	" 4-5	"

The Receipts of Produce into Bombay yesterday were as follows:—

Cotton	2,628	bales.
Wheat	12,584	owts.
Linseed	8,765	"
Rapeseed	2,455	"
Gingelly	1,135	"
Opium	4,366	"

FREIGHTS practically are unchanged. Sellers are trying to make rates higher for early July loading, but without success. The s.s. Inchlonga is reported to have been fixed to-day for Antwerp at 4s 1-2-6.

EXCHANGE. The market is unaltered with very little business passing. We repeat our yesterday's quotations, but it is questionable whether Credits could not have been done at 1s. 5 1-16d. for any delivery after this mail. As usual we await the result of the weekly biddings for Council drafts in London to-day as a basis for the probable course of rates:—

Bank Bills on demand	1s. 4 29-32d. per rupee.
Do. Telegraphic	1s. 4 7-8d. "
Do. 3 months' sight	1s. 4 31-32d. "
Credits, 3 months' sight	1s. 5 31-32d. "
Francs, 3 months' sight	1 80¾ "

GOVERNMENT PAPER has been weaker again for Fours, the quotation having receded to Rs. 97⅞ to 7-16. Fours-and-a-half are unchanged at Rs. 103, but nominal at that.

SHARES.—In Bank of Bombay we note a decline of Rs. 5 to Rs. 765. Bombay-Burmah Trading Co.'s shares are Rs. 25 lower, at Rs. 2,600 for first issue. Treacher and Co., Ld., are up to Rs. 1,155. Spinning and Weaving Mills are steady, and in some cases dearer.

BOMBAY BILL AND STOCK LISTS.

BANKS

	Shares.	Amt.	Paid up.	Last Dividend.	Cash. Rate.
		Rs.	Rs.		Rs.
INDIAN BANK.					
Bank of Bombay	20,000	500	all	ct.	765
Bank of Bengal	40,000	500	all	10 do.	200
Bank of Madras	10,000	500	all	5 do.	615
EXCHANGE BANK.					
Agra Bank	10,000	£	£	6 do.	115
Hong-kong and Shang. B. Corporation	50,000	100	all	17½ do.	259
National Bank of India	40,000	£125			190
		750			

RAILWAY COMPANIES

G. I. P. 5 per cent. Stock	..	£100	..	..	£134½
B. B. & C. I. R. Guaranteed 5 per cent.	..	£100	..	..	£117½

INSURANCE COMPANIES

Bombay Fire Insurance Co.	600	1,000	1,000	Rs. 70	950
Empire Fire Insurance Co.	200	5,000	1,000	Rs. 60	1,050
Indian Fire Insurance Co.	110	4,000	1,000	Rs. 90	1,100
Prince of Wales Fire Insurance Co.	950	8	1,000	Rs. 100	1,675

MISCELLANEOUS.

Alcock Ashdown & Co. Ld.	1,000 / 750	200 / 150	200 / 150	10 / p.	180 / 120
Badham, Pile & Co. Ld.	4,000	150	100	Rs. 16	144
Bagay Bros. Ld.	750	200	100	nil.	82
Barton & Co., Ld.	2000	60	100	Rs. 20	
Do. New issue	500		37 10	3 p.c.	12
Bombay Land & Building Co., Ld.		500	100	2 p.c.	100xl.
Bombay Flour Mills Co.	800	500	500	11 p.c.	503
Bombay Steam Mills	200	1,000	1,000	15 p.c.	220
Bombay Ice Manufacturing Co., Limited.	1,250	100	100	Rs. 5	112
Bombay & Burmah Trading Corporation.	1,000	2,500	1,500	7 p.c.	2220
Do. (3rd issue)	2,000	62	37½	Rs. 5	655
Bombay Municipal Bonds				3½	100½
Cash Bazaar	1,000	100	100	..	14 Nil.
Clyde Mills Co.	1,000	500	500	..	500
Cooper, Madan & Co. Ld.	5,000	100	100	Rs. 10	70
Gegee & Co. Ld.	500	200	40	..	45
Indian Guarantee Suretyship Association					
Kaiser Hind Mining Co. Limited.	40,000	25	25	Rs. 4	11½
Kaiser Hind Mining Co. Ld.	50	500	500		256
Koop & Co. Ld.	4,000	200	175	Rs. 25	315
Mackay & Co., Ld.	4,000	100	100	Rs. 10	80xd
Napier Hotel Co.	300	100	100	12 p.c.	90
Napier Foundry and Mill Co.	1,500	100	100	..	104xd
Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company	5,000	200	50	Rs. 5	105
Oriental Loan Association	5,000	20	20	8 p.c.	18
Pearse and Co., Ld.	1,000	100	100	Rs. 19	45
Port Canning Co.	6,000	100	1,000	Rs. 14	317
Phillips & Co., Ld.	1,000	100	100	p.c.	101
Soundy & Co., Ld.	1,000	10	10	..	8½
Telephone Co., Ld.	19,200	25	25		
Treacher & Co., Ld.	2,000	500	250	Rs. 100	1155
Thacker & Co., Ld.	2,400	100	100	Rs. 15	185
Western India Flour Mills	600	125	100	nil.	40
M. M. Mody & Co.	600	60	500	11 p.c.	175

MR. N. H. GHEESTA,

DENTAL SURGEON,

MAY BE CONSULTED FROM 8 A.M. TO 5 P. M.

Extracts teeth under N. O. Gas,

No. 23, RAMPART ROW,

Next to *Bombay Gazette* Office.

SCHIEDMAYER AND SOEHNE'S

ARE the only Pianofortes of that name that are so favourably and widely known in India for more than 30 years. Inferior instruments have recently been introduced under a name which closely resembles theirs. Intending purchasers should therefore satisfy themselves that the name on the Piano they are getting is Schiedmayer and Soehne.

Gold Medals with HIGHEST Distinction at both the Antwerp and the London ("Inventions") Exhibitions, the only Firm of that NAME WHICH OBTAINED a Prize.

THE GRAND SQUARE PIANOFORTE, Cash Rs. 720.

Or 12 monthly instalments at Rs. 66.
Or 24 do. do. " 36.

The Sympathetic Pianoforte, Cash Rs. 780.
Or 12 monthly instalments at Rs. 72.
Or 24 do. do. " 38.

Zinc lined Packing Case, Rs. 25 extra. Delivered free at any Railway Station at Bombay or Poona.

Address: MARCKS & Co., Limited,

Sole Agents for the Pianofortes of Messrs.

SCHIEDMAYER and SOEHNE.

DOMESTIC OCCURRENCES.

[THE CHARGE FOR NOTIFYING A DOMESTIC OCCURRENCE IS TWO RUPEES. THE ANNOUNCEMENT MUST BE AUTHENTICATED.]

BOMBAY.

BIRTHS.

GRAHAM—June 21, at Mount Road, the wife of H. W. Graham, of a son.

CRAWLEY—June 23, at Cumballa Hotel, Bombay, the wife of C. E. Crawley, of a son.

OTHER PRESIDENCIES.

BIRTHS.

NELSON—June 18, at Mussoorie, the wife of Captain E F Nelson, Royal Artillery, prematurely, of a son (since dead).

PITT—June 24, at Calcutta, the wife of Mr C R Pitt, River Superintendent, A R and T Co, Ld, of a son.

MARRIAGE.

RUFFLE—REED—June 23, at St Stephen's Church, Calcutta, by the Rev Saunders Dyer, Frank Felingham Ruffle, to Isabel Hannah Mary, eldest daughter of S Reed, Esq. No Cards.

DEATH.

TAYLOR—June 21, at Kasauli, John Taylor, late Extra Assistant Commissioner (Retired), aged 77 years, 1 month, and 18 days. Deeply regretted by a large circle of relatives and friends.

The Bombay Gazette

THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 1887.

SIR LEPEL GRIFFIN has introduced the Indian Princes to the English people in a speech which, though delivered across the hospitable board of the Constitutional Union, has doubtless had many hearers in England, not all of whom would have had entrance into that temple of latter-day Conservatism. It was a speech not meant for us in India, but we have read it nevertheless with all the interest that pertains to the sayings of a near neighbour who is speaking into other ears than our own. There are many things in it that we can appreciate at least as much as the audience to whom it was directly addressed. The orator's pious wish that the mutual knowledge and sympathy founded on knowledge of England and India will increase is one of them. But some of us, in echoing it, will be disposed to ask why Sir Lepel Griffin has deferred until his visit to London this very admirable recognition of the need of sympathy in the relations between the people of the two countries. Prejudiced, as many of the observers in India of his career must be by articles that have appeared over his signature in the English reviews, they must now in thankful wonderment recognize that he does not quite banish sympathy from the elements by which our rule in India is to be made strong. They will hope, too, that this happy sentiment had its origin in something deeper than the joyous effusiveness of the Jubilee season. Sir Lepel Griffin has struck a note with which he had not hitherto made us familiar; we are all hoping that on his return to India we shall hear it again, with variations which even his old *betes noires* the Babus of Bengal, and the returned delegates of 1885, may be able to appreciate.

To the public at home, however, the most interesting passages in his speech must have been those in which he extolled the loyalty of the native princes, and proclaimed their willingness to go shoulder to shoulder with us in any battle that may need to be fought for the conservation of the dignity of the Empire. Almost without the help of the reporters one might mark in the brief record of this very telling speech the plaudits with which the gentlemen of the Constitutional Union must have greeted these testimonies to the patriotism of the Chiefs of India. These hundred Chiefs, who would desire nothing better than to draw their swords and fight side by side with the subjects of the Queen for the honour and safety of the Empire, must have presented themselves to the imagination of the Constitutional Unionists as a picturesque answer to the ugly statistical picture of our military decadence which a day or two before had been drawn by Lord Randolph Churchill at Wolverhampton. For the purposes of the hour—which happened to be the hour after dinner—Sir Lepel Griffin was sufficient for supplying the answer to Lord Randolph Churchill. But this figure of the hundred Chiefs is not so well adapted for exportation as that admirable confession of the need for sympathy in the relations between the two races. This latter we can look at with no other thought or desire than that Sir Lepel Griffin will think fit to tell us more about it when he returns to India. Of the hundred Chiefs too, we should like to hear something, but we know beforehand that whatever he might tell us on the subject he would have to admit that thanks to the policy of the Government in India they are still admirable figures for the adornment of a patriotic speech, and little more. At the most doubtful elements in the defence of the Empire, it would be a pity to protest against the introduction of such a factor in an after-dinner speech. It is of course something to point to an army of feudatory princes who are loyal enough to fight on our side, if only we would enable them to do so. It is permissible to boast of magnificent potentialities even when the actualities fall somewhat below them. We have therefore no other exception to take to this part of Sir Lepel Griffin's speech than that it seems to conceal from the view of the public at home a shortcoming of the Government of India which the critics of their policy in this country have resolutely and persistently kept before them. There are potential forces in India, capable of being utilized for its defence, which the Government still ignore. When Sir Lepel Griffin talks of his hundred princes he talks, in a vein of misleading optimism, of the military resources which Mr. Boulger, the author of the letters in the *Times* on the armies of the Native States, spoke of with equally misleading pessimism, as a source of weakness. There is nothing in these armies to be afraid of, with Mr. Boulger; there is, in their present state, nothing in them to vaunt of, with Sir Lepel Griffin. We extol the generous loyalty of their leaders, but at the same time we wonder at their own supineness in perseveringly failing to make use of them. Neither Sir Lepel Griffin's confidence in them nor Mr. Boulger's fear of them is warranted by a mere count of heads. Mr. Boulger dealt with the subject three years ago as though it were one of arithmetic. There were so many armed men under the banners of Scindia and Holkar and the Mahratta Chiefs; so many under the Hindu Chiefs; so many in the Mussalman States, and these together made a paper total which was quite formidable enough satisfy the ill-informed that unless we summoned some of the greater feudatory Chiefs to reduce their armies there would be mischief. Yet it is just as wrong to mislead public opinion in the other direction. Those soldiers whom Sir Lepel Griffin signalized to his Constitutional audience as our comrades in future battles on the border stand well in a count of heads, just as the bending bayonets of which Lord Randolph Churchill has lately spoken stand sufficiently well in armoury returns. But soft bayonets bend and twist, and ill-armed and worse trained levies give way in battle and fly before the enemy, and in reckoning up our strength, why should we be less careful about the quality of our auxiliaries than about that of our own armaments?

We do not suppose that these considerations were as absent from Sir Lepel Griffin's mind as they are from his speech. He was one of the first to protest against the wrong view of the native armies question when it was set forth in the *Times* in 1884; he would be the first, we believe, to use such influence as he may possess in shaping the policy of the Government of India to bring about a satisfactory solution of this question. He would admit readily enough, if an opportunity for making the admission were given him, that his reference to the subject in London the other day was, if not an unreal, at all events an incomplete illustration of the military relation of the feudatory princes to the defence of the Empire. There is one incident in his career as a political officer in the service of the Government of India which warrants the belief that his own views upon this matter are wanting neither in breadth nor in sympathy. The dashing political who inducted Abdul Rahman, almost fresh from the Russian camp in Turkestan, into the *gadi* at Cabul, is not so wanting in confidence in the feudatory Chiefs of India that he would find them a place only in the picturesque rhetoric of the banquetting room. They serve a purpose there, but it is an unreal purpose, and we do not suppose that Sir Lepel Griffin conceals from himself, despite the happy enthusiasm of this speech, that we do an injustice not only to the hundred Princes of his oratory but to ourselves as well, in passing by such valuable military resources as the armies of the Native States would supply us with had we the courage and the confidence to use them. It is a pity that the restraints of office prevented him from dealing with this aspect of the question with more reality.

IF it be a sign of simplicity to believe that everything that is printed must be true, it would be at least an equal blunder to imagine that everything that ought to be known and exposed to criticism is brought to light. It seems incredible, but still it is the fact, that every detail of the Langworthy case was brought out before the courts and was the subject of protracted litigation during years, and yet it was never once reported, and the public knew absolutely nothing about it until the *Pall Mall Gazette* published it as a feuilleton which had the strange quality of being true. Even an advertisement referring to the affair was refused insertion by the *Times*, which never reported a line of the proceedings, although the case was in the printed lists published in its own columns. No one can say that the case had no public interest, for it was as full of thrilling and dramatic situations as a divorce story, though even the British matron could not find in it an incident to which exception could be taken by the most innocent young person. Why was the case "burked" for years, and all the indignation of the judges at the prevarications and evasions which were built up in hindrance of legal and moral right remain unheard by the outside public? We feel interested in the problem, seeing that when some six weeks since, we in the way of daily duty gave space to a condensed version of the *Pall Mall Gazette's* circumstantial statement, we never imagined we were doing anything which was deserving of special notice. It appears, however, that in giving publicity to the most remarkable law case in recent times which has not had its theatre in the Divorce Court, we have done what our Home contemporaries for reasons best known to themselves have abstained from doing. The *Pall Mall Budget* of June 9 has the following paragraph in an article on the subject:—"The daily press of the capital, the newspapers who had allowed all this villainy to go on unnoticed and therefore unreproved, have to this hour, with the exception of the *Daily Chronicle*, boycotted Mrs. Langworthy with characteristic unanimity and perfunctoriness. So far from attempting to make amends for their laches in the past, they have steadily ignored a story with which the whole country was ringing. And not this country alone. The last mail brings us the *Bombay Gazette*, which quotes three columns from our narrative of the Langworthy Marriage, which it describes truly enough as one of the great journalistic sensations in London—although all knowledge of that fact is sedulously concealed from the public in London by their morning papers. The *Cologne Gazette* this week adverts to the subject, and alike in Europe and in America the story of Mrs. Langworthy's marriage is everywhere commented on with indignation, and much amazement is expressed that such things could happen in a civilized country. But so far as our London daily contemporaries are concerned, the editors with one exception have maintained and still maintain a stolid and impenetrable silence." Silence may be golden, but surely in such a case it cannot be consistent with that public spirit without which the press would be no better than a public nuisance.

CARDINAL PERSICO, who has been commissioned on the part of his Holiness the Pope to go to Ireland and see how far Lord Salisbury may require Papal support against the Irish party, is not a Cardinal at all. He is an old Bombay ecclesiastic who has seen a good deal of India in his time. The Most Reverend Dr. Persico—who will be astonished to find that he is breveted a Cardinal—was until recently titular Archbishop of an Egyptian diocese "in partibus," that of Damietta, and was lately transferred to an Italian bishopric. He has resigned his See, however, owing to age and ill-health. So far back as 1850 he was Secretary to Dr. Hartmann, then Administrator Apostolic of Bombay, so that he is now probably over seventy. Some twenty-five years ago he was consecrated in Bombay Vicar Apostolic of Agra; from Agra he proceeded to America, fifteen years later he was in Italy, and was sent back to Bombay on a special mission to Bishop Meurin, who was engaged in settling affairs connected with the Syrian Christians on the Malabar Coast, and he took part in the controversy with the clever schismatic Mellus, who gave so much trouble with papers signed "Pio Nono"—a signature singularly out of ecclesiastical form, but which deceived many, until he was indiscreet enough to show it to Dr. Meurin. Forged documents are not always so easily detected on inspection. Dr. Persico is of noble family, and has seen a good deal of East and West; but he is not likely to be a Cardinal.

WE yesterday published the refutation by Rukhmabai of the rigmarole put forward in the name of the plaintiff in the Conjugal Rights Case, our only doubt being whether it was necessary to refute a tissue of absurdities of the kind. The so-called "Exposition" was clearly never written by Dadaji, who, as Rukhmabai points out, knows nothing of Jewish history, and could never have used the Scriptural phraseology that garnishes that effusion. The orthodox Hindus are badly served when such a document is put forward as an exposition of a case which they very naturally and very rightly watch with great attention. The attempt to show that Rukhmabai is a tool in the hands of designing relatives anxious to secure her money for themselves, has been rebutted by the quotation of the words of her father's will, which left all the property to her mother, with the exception of Rs. 200 and the marriage expenses of the daughter. The allegation that Dadaji is a well-to-do and a healthy man with a "family house to which he was willing to receive his wife," is born to shreds by adducing the evidence of Dadaji and his uncle—evidence though it is of witnesses whom the judge stigmatised as giving a great deal of false evidence. But what was false was not to the interest of the defendant, and what is true puts the plaintiff out of court. The reply to the exposition is to the point, rests on facts, and avoids false issues. The publication of it is timely, the appeal to the High Court may now be called on without much further delay. If the appeal be unsuccessful it will be necessary to take the case before the Privy Council; and it is well that the false issues raised to prejudice the appellant should not add to the difficulties which Rukhmabai has faced with such courage and determination.

SIR MAXWELL MELVILL goes to Kashmere in August on three months' leave. As we have already mentioned, Mr. Naylor will, during Sir Maxwell's abs

TELEGRAMS.

THE WRECK OF THE TASMANIA.

BOARD OF TRADE INQUIRY.

(SPECIAL TELEGRAM.)

LONDON, JUNE 28.

The Board of Trade Inquiry into the circumstances of the wreck of the P. and O. mail steamer Tasmania on the Corsican coast was opened to-day.

The principal witness was Mr. Watkins, the Chief Officer. He denied that Captain Perrins, who was in command, had been dazed by the blow on the head with a broken spar. On the contrary, he was cool and collected, and retained his presence of mind. Neither was he in bad health, though he intended taking leave on the arrival of the vessel in London.

Mr. Watkins denied the allegations which have been made as to the collapse and demoralisation of the Lascars. He said that they stuck to their posts and did not desert. Four or five of the Lascars had died from exposure.

Mr. Watkins admitted that there had been a delay of half an hour in the lowering of the boats. But the delay was due to the heavy seas which were running and washing over the vessel.

Mr. Watkins admitted that there had been a case of looting of jewellery, the culprit being a steward. A few other trifling things, which were supposed to have been stolen, have been recovered.

The witness believed that the vessel had been visited by robbers.

[REUTER'S TELEGRAMS.]

ENGLAND AND THE VATICAN.

LONDON, JUNE 29.

In the House of Commons last night, the Right Hon. W. H. Smith, in reply to a question, said that neither England nor the Vatican had made any proposals to establish diplomatic relations.

PAPAL MISSION TO IRELAND.

ROME, JUNE 28.

The Pope has finally decided to send Monsignor Persico to Ireland at once.

THE WRECK OF THE TASMANIA.

LONDON, JUNE 29.

The Board of Trade Inquiry regarding the loss of the Tasmania is likely to last several days.

THE CRIMES BILL.

The Right Hon. W. H. Smith, in the House of Commons last night, gave notice that he would move that the closure be applied to the Crimes Bill on Monday next.

JUBILEE FUNCTIONS.

There was a grand Reception, followed by a Ball, at Guildhall last night. Many of the Royal Personages and Indian Princes visiting London were present.

A Garden Party will take place at Buckingham Palace to-day, at which her Majesty the Queen will be present.

THE GHILZAI REBELLION.

(FROM OUR OWN CORRESPONDENT.)

SIMLA, JUNE 29.

News has been received of a fight between the Amir's troops and the Ghilzais near Ab-i-Istadeh. Both claim the victory. Ghulam Hyder says that [illegible] defeated the Ghilzais [illegible] heavy loss; the fight [illegible] skirmish took place [illegible]

At the Legislative Council [illegible] Hon. Mr. Quinton, in moving that the Allahabad University Bill be referred to a Select Committee, described the provisions of the measure, which is based on the existing Acts and closely follows the Punjab University Act. He said the Viceroy would be the first Patron, and Sir Alfred Lyall the first Chancellor of the University, and that the infant institution could not begin its life under the auspices of two sponsors better fitted by their refined scholarship and literary culture to inaugurate its career and add lustre to its reputation.

The Hon. Mr. Peile, in moving for leave to introduce the Bill for the Regulation of the Military Police in Burma, said that soon after the annexation of Upper Burma, it had been found necessary to form a well-disciplined body of military police, recruited in Northern India, for the suppression of dacoity, and these were placed under regulations passed last January similar to the Assam Frontier Police Regulations, and these rules imposed severe punishment for cowardice desertion and other breaches of duty. In May last, the Chief Commissioner represented that similar regulations were necessary for Lower Burma where the police was also tending to become a semi military force. The present Bill would therefore repeal the regulations in force in Upper Burma, and pass one Act containing similar provisions for the entire province.

[REUTER'S TELEGRAMS.]

THE MAILS.

ADEN, JUNE 29.

The Peninsular and Oriental Company's steamer Pekin, with the English mails of the 17th June, left for Bombay at 11 p.m. on Tuesday night.

The same Company's steamer Australia, which left Bombay with the homeward English mails on the 21st inst., arrived here at midnight yesterday.

STEAMER MOVEMENTS.

LIVERPOOL, JUNE 28.

[illegible] Line steamer Clan Macdonald left here [illegible] noon.

SUEZ, JUNE 26.

[illegible] garian Lloyd's S. N. Co.'s s.s. [illegible] Bombay to-day.

JUNE 28.

[illegible] Buchanan left here [illegible] fair left here for [illegible] day afternoon. [illegible] arrived here [illegible] JUNE 29.

COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL NEWS.

LONDON, JUNE 28.

The Liverpool Cotton market closed steady. Surats steady.

Good Fair Dhollera	4 3-16

The Manchester market has been quiet but steady.

Exchange on India 60 days' sight	1 4⅛
4 per cent. Rupee Paper	83½
4½ per cents.	71½
3 per cent. Consols for account	101⅝
2½ per cent. Sterling Loan	102⅛
4½ per cent. French Rentes	108¼
Bar Silver	44⅛

THE East Indian Railway have arranged for the accommodation of any reasonable number of passengers who may desire to visit Serampore on the occasion of the return of the car of Juggernath from his bathing excursion to the riverside.

THE *Statesman* has become involved in a controversy with Mr. A. O. Hume, on the abolition of the Salt Line. The *Statesman* charges Mr. Hume with disingenuousness in his conduct of the controversy.

THE SIMLA SEASON.

(FROM OUR OWN CORRESPONDENT.)

SIMLA, JUNE 26.

I HAVE already sent you the list of winners at the Horse Show. Half the judging was done in the morning, and the remainder in the afternoon, after which the trotting and jumping competitions took place. The greater part of Simla found its way down the long tortuous two-mile road to Annandale to witness these performances, and were well rewarded for their trouble. The prize winners, with tickets showing their class, were all picketed in rows, and made a goodly show, from Waler and well bred hacks to various shaggy little ponies hardly bigger than a Newfoundland dog. Best at this time, too, the judging of mules with their equipment from the Dutogh batteries was proceeding, and the splendid condition and fine appearance of the animals excited general admiration. Although many of them had but recently returned from Burma, they showed no signs of wear and tear. After most of the visitors had inspected the prize winners on the open ground, there was an adjournment to the stand, which, I am told, had been labelled "Thoroughbreds," and from there the jumping competition [illegible] were four hurdles of various construction, and about a dozen horses and as many ponies were tried, the Commander-in-Chief himself, as will have been seen from the list, taking part. The horses mostly did their work well, but among the ponies there were many obstinate refusals, and the pluck with which one rider kept his seat when the pony came down on his knees, and the agility with which another saved his head from being cut off by one of the boundary ropes, were among the interesting incidents. The public are greatly indebted to the judging committee and to the honorary secretary, Captain F. M. Rundall, who were on the ground all day, and to whom the success of the arrangements was entirely due. A Dog Show was talked of some time ago, but it evidently is not to come off this year. A Baby Show is also hinted at, but let us hope that this modern entertainment will not be imported into this country for some time to come.

Our last theatricals were a decided failure in respect to the pieces chosen. "The Cosy Couple" is a comedietta (or very small comedy) without a vestige of plot, and consisting merely of a prolonged tea-table conversation between two gentlemen and a lady. "The Biter Bit" has a little more fun and plot, and is also divided among three characters. Both were well put on the stage, and the acting of Mrs Deane in the former and of Captain Carter in the latter, saved them as far as it was possible from absolute dulness. But when will our theatrical managers learn that it is too much to ask a large and intelligent audience to watch for two and a half hours, at a charge of Rs. 4 per seat, a trumpery three character play with no plot? I shall be told, no doubt, of the extreme difficulty of finding suitable pieces and amateurs who will take a part in them, but the reply is obvious—"better have no theatricals." No professional company would attempt to fill a house with exhibitions of this kind, and why should the good nature of a Simla audience be imposed upon?

Simla commenced its Jubilee morning with mists and great rain, and many hearts must have been heavy at the prospect of having to go out in fancy dress in such weather. Before the afternoon, however, the sky had cleared, and the sun was as bright as it can be in the hills after a severe storm. The day was observed as a public holiday, and many of the shops were closed, but beyond this there was nothing to indicate that Simla was rejoicing.

THE LATE MAJOR LYONS.

THE following subscriptions have been received on behalf of the family of the late Major Lyons:—

	Rs.
His Excellency Lord Reay	100
Major-General Carnegy	50
Brigr.-General Adam	50
Colonel Wardrop	50
[illegible]mjee Jeejeebhoy	50
[illegible] Sheppard, C.S.	50
[illegible] Bahadoor Pudumjee Pestonjee	50
Mr. J[illegible] R.E.	50
Dr. Hen[illegible]	50
Hon'ble Mr. Rick[illegible]y, C.S.I.	50
Mr. W. H. Propo[illegible], C.S.	50
Mr. J. M. Sleater	50
Mr. Eduljee Dinshaw	50
Dr. Hewlett, C.I.E.	50
Mr. W. Porter[illegible]on C.S.	30
Surgeon-G[illegible]	30
Colonel Funeral Thomson	30
[illegible] D. Mander, 3rd Bombay Infantry	30
Captain C. Tyler	30
Mr. H. Macnee	30
Brigr.-General Po[illegible]	30
Mr. Tom Le Mesurier	25
Mr. J. R. Morgan	25
Mr. Plimmer	25
Mr. W. Loe-Warner	25
Mr. A. U. Fanshawe	25
Major-General Solly-Flood	20
Colonel J. Jopp	20
Colonel Wooldridge	20
Colonel Willoughby, C.S.I.	20
Colonel Borradaile, C.B.	20
Dr. W. J. Moore, C.I.E.	20
Major Reilly	20
Mr. W. Symonds, C.S.	20
Mr. E. Fulton, C.S.	20
Captain Burroughs	20
Mr. Carvalho	20
Lieut.-Colonel Graham	15
Lieut.-Colonel Prideaux	15
Captain Hanwell	10
Captain Dewlitz	10
Mr. McConnachie	10
Lieut. Baker, R.E.	10
Lieut.-Colonel, Twemlow, R.E.	10
Lieut. Ward	10
Mr. Charde	10
Mr. Faulds	10
Mr. Fletcher	10
Mr. W. Nugent	10
Mr. C. Wise	10
Mr. G. Wiseman	10
Mr. R. Pringle	10
Mr. J. Gardiner	10
Dr. G. Hinde, C. B.	10
Colonel Sir W. Seton	10
Khan Bahadoor Dhanjisha Edalji	10
Captain S. Steele	10
Captain Bingham	10
Mr. S. Wright	10
Mr. [illegible]. H. Bedford	10
Captain P. Nelson	10
Mr. Come[illegible]ford	10
Mr. Tooth	10
Colonel Swinh[illegible]	10
Mr. Pallonjee Nu[illegible]eerwanjee	10
Mr. W. Fearn	10
Sympathy	20
Previously acknow[illegible]dged	125
	1,765

DUCHESS OF CONNAUGHT LIFEBOAT FUND.

THE following additional subscriptions for her Royal Highness the Duchess of Connaught Lifeboat Fund have been received:—

	Rs.	as.
Formerly acknowledged	86	14
H. E. The Viceroy	100	0
Narrendass Odhoorjee of Kurunja, Panvell	200	0
E. Fulton, Esq.	50	0
Captain E. F. Becher	6	0
Total...Rs.	1,238	14

VANDALISM AT BIJAPUR.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

SIR,—I have observed with great pleasure that the works being carried on at Bijapur have become a subject of public discussion. These works have been much criticised in late years, but it seems to me that much of the criticism has been misdirected. Indiscriminate criticism of what has been done must miss its object, which is to prevent the recurrence of mistakes in the future. To attain this it behoves us to ascertain clearly in what the mistakes of the past really consist. My only claim to express my views on the subject is that I have for about three years and a half watched with quiet interest as a looker on the works which were being carried out.

Mr. Bock's letter, which appeared in your issue of the 23rd instant, contains much that is very misleading. He is very severe on the "tin roof" or rather shed, which has been fixed in front of the Bokhara Masjid. It is not beautiful, but as the shed as well as the notice boards and shelves can easily be removed, and the mosque restored to its former condition in a few hours, whenever the Government decides to remove the Post Office into some other building, it is not worth while to expend much indignation upon the temporary disfigurement of the masjid. With regard to whitewash, it must be remembered that most of it was put on, not by the Public Works Department, but by the original Mahommedan builders, though it has often been renewed since. But the most astonishing part of Mr. Bock's letter is where he refers to the gateway restored into a church, and fails to add one word about the exquisite decorations which have been lavished upon the interior. For two years native artists were employed covering the lovely arches and walls with stucco works original in design, but similar in character to the fragments of old work which still remain in Bijapur. The art of stucco-cutting, then in its prime, is, as this example shows, still living, and I am prepared to say that this piece of work, wrought by natives left entirely to themselves, is as beautiful as anything produced in the old days. The most captious could find no error of taste in a restoration, when a building, some 300 years old is re-decorated by a living, Nor whom the traditions of them or false economy be can the charge of waste the Department at whose fairly brought work was undertaken. In fact, any one who knows anything at all of the history of the Bijapur works, must be struck by the absurdity of charging Government with having shown any desire to save "thirty or at least twenty rupees a month." The question whether this old gateway should ever have been converted into a church is of course quite a different one. I am now merely speaking of the style of decoration. When it was decided to convert Bijapur into the head-quarter station of a district, certain things had to be done in order to make the place healthy and otherwise habitable. It was absolutely necessary—

(1.) To drain the moat round the Arkilla.

(2.) To clear away all the vegetation and *debris* which before made the place so picturesque inside the Arkilla, and to level the ground and make roads.

(3.) To erect dwellings convenient for modern habitation, and therefore in totally different style to the buildings around them. Now with these three all the mischief was done. When once the moat was drained, the ground levelled and modern buildings erected, the whole charm of Bijapur was gone. But the responsibility rests, not with those who executed the works, but with those who ordered the new station to be laid out.

The ruthless clearing away of vegetation and levelling of the ground inside and around the Ali Roza, does not belong to this category. Former visitors to Bijapur will all remember the grand Gothic looking arches peeping out of the prickly pear and custard apple. Here the vegetation was doing no harm, and it is difficult to conceive how any one could have the heart to clear it away and convert the front of the building into a stonemason's yard. But for this I believe the Public Works Department are not responsible. I look upon the whole Bijapur scheme as a vast mistake, but it is idle to pick out details and find fault with them. When rightly examined it will be found in most cases that the execution has been as good as could be expected, and that the fault is traceable to the perverse desire to call the dead back to life, to convert the glorious ruins of an old Mahommedan capital into a modern Indian station. Four years ago it was the fashion amongst a certain class of people to talk about restoring Bijapur with dynamite. The proposal, though unfeeling, was more sensible than the attempt to infuse young life and vigour into a body already hoary with age and decay. No better instance than this of Bijapur could be brought to show the futility of restoring an old building or an old city. A building, like every other work of art, is a living organism, and as such has its periods of birth, life and decay. We may admire the glory of its old age; our admiration will be mingled with sadness to see what is beautiful crumbling to pieces before our eyes; our loving hands will do much to preserve its weather-beaten surfaces, but though we may prolong its life for a season, we are powerless to prevent the destiny which must overtake all. It is not ours to inspire new life, and the attempt to do so will only bring forth a living falsehood, a ghastly apparition of an old body decked out with the ornaments and vanities of youth and childhood.—I am, &c.,

Dharwar, June 27. P. A. H.

RAKHMABAI AND DR. KIRTIKAR.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

SIR,—In justice to me, I hope, you will allow me a little space in your columns, touching Rakhmabai's letter published this morning.

There is no doubt I am the young "Hindu doctor" alluded to by her. The passages she quotes may be from my letters addressed to him from England, for his having attended my late lamented wife in a terrible illness. It has hitherto been my good fortune to have always enjoyed the "good-will" of my friends and acquaintances, and Dr. Sakharam was no exception to this, unless the writer means I was at any time under Dr. Sakharam's [illegible]. I never knew her husband [illegible] dependent on his good-will was, therefore, impossible. Dr. Sakharam took no much interest in me that he deprecated the idea of my joining the Indian Medical Service; he wanted me to be his assistant; but I had higher aims and I have every reason to feel proud that my choice was well made. It is quite true, and I feel it even now, as I wrote years ago in my letters which Rakhmabai quotes, that Dr. Sakharam's connection with me was a continuous source of gain to me and to my dear and near ones. What else could I feel in his intellectual fellowship, watching him night and day ably treating his patients in my presence? It was good schooling to me in the early days of my medical study. He attended my family professionally, as a friend he received no fees for advice, but the medicine charges were paid to a pie. Even supposing I had been personally under greater obligations than these conferred by ordinary friends "mutually"—even supposing I had been "clothed, fed and educated" by Dr. Sakharam—which is not the case—does it follow I should sell my judgment and express my gratitude in appreciating my and every act of a benefactor? I respected Dr. Sakharam in life, in death I have not ceased to do so; but my respect for truth is infinitely greater, and I have exposed the facts only under a strong sense of public duty, and not without pain. But duty is duty. The whole Hindu society is maligned, and when reform is talked of and not practised, when early marriages are cried down, and not stopped by those educated Hindus who can effectually stop them, if only a little more determination and moral courage are brought into use, is it not time people should be roused to a sense of duty? Rakhmabai says I have abused her stepfather. Let her point out one word which is called abuse in common parlance. I challenge again! She admits in her to-day's letter Dr. Sakharam got her married. This is what I too say, and more, he was sufficiently powerful in his caste to have postponed her marriage to a future day. This is not a case, if Rakhmabai's name had not been connected with the general question of reform in Hindu society, I should have treated her case as one of individual suffering much to be pitied and sympathized with. But the tactics she and her friends have adopted, and as they have been exposed, whether by Mr. Dadajee, myself, Dr. Vinayak Gidh, and others are not to be considered admirable.—Yours, &c.,

June 29. K. B. KIRTIKAR.

BYWATER, TANQUERAY & Co. (late BYWATER, PERRY & Co.) are agents for Residents abroad, Missionaries, Chaplains, &c., in every part of the world. Goods and Outfits supplied at Wholesale prices. Shipping passages arranged. Banking in all its branches. Full descriptive Catalogue post free. Offices 1-79 Queen Victoria Street, London. 1,000 References.

VANDALISM AT BIJAPUR.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

SIR,—I have observed with great pleasure that the works being carried on at Bijapur have become a subject of public discussion. These works have been much criticised in late years, but it seems to me that much of the criticism has been misdirected. Indiscriminate criticism of what has been done must miss its object, which is to prevent the recurrence of mistakes in the future. To attain this it behoves us to ascertain clearly in what the mistakes of the past really consist. My only claim to express my views on the subject is that I have for about three years and a half watched with quiet interest as a looker on the works which were being carried out.

Mr. Bock's letter, which appeared in your issue of the 23rd instant, contains much that is very misleading. He is very severe on the "tin roof" or rather shed, which has been fixed in front of the Bokhara Masjid. It is not beautiful, but as the shed as well as the notice boards and shelves can easily be removed, and the mosque restored to its former condition in a few hours, whenever the Government decides to remove the Post Office into some other building, it is not worth while to expend much indignation upon the temporary disfigurement of the masjid. With regard to whitewash, it must be remembered that most of it was put on, not by the Public Works Department, but by the original Mahommedan builders, though it has often been renewed since. But the most astonishing part of Mr. Bock's letter is where he refers to the gateway restored into a church, and fails to add one word about the exquisite decorations which have been lavished upon the interior. For two years native artists were employed covering the lovely arches and walls with stucco works original in design, but similar in character to the fragments of old work which still remain in Bijapur. The art of stucco-cutting, then in its prime, is, as this example shows, still living, and I am prepared to say that this piece of work, wrought by natives left entirely to themselves, is as beautiful as anything produced in the old days. The most captious could find no error of taste in a restoration, when a building, some 300 years old is re-decorated by a living. Nor whom the traditions of them or false economy be can the charge of waste the Department at whose fairly brought work was undertaken. In fact, any one who knows anything at all of the history of the Bijapur works, must be struck by the absurdity of charging Government with having shown any desire to save "thirty or at least twenty rupees a month." The question whether this old gateway should ever have been converted into a church is of course quite a different one. I am now merely speaking of the style of decoration. When it was decided to convert Bijapur into the head-quarter station of a district, certain things had to be done in order to make the place healthy and otherwise habitable. It was absolutely necessary—

(1.) To drain the moat round the Arkilla.

(2.) To clear away all the vegetation and *debris* which before made the place so picturesque inside the Arkilla, and to level the ground and make roads.

(3.) To erect dwellings convenient for modern habitation, and therefore in totally different style to the buildings around them. Now with these three all the mischief was done. When once the moat was drained, the ground levelled and modern buildings erected, the whole charm of Bijapur was gone. But the responsibility rests, not with those who executed the works, but with those who ordered the new station to be laid out.

The ruthless clearing away of vegetation and levelling of the ground inside and around the Ali Roza, does not belong to this category. Former visitors to Bijapur will all remember the grand Gothic looking arches peeping out of the prickly pear and custard apple. Here the vegetation was doing no harm, and it is difficult to conceive how any one could have the heart to clear it away and convert the front of the building into a stonemason's yard. But for this I believe the Public Works Department are not responsible. I look upon the whole Bijapur scheme as a vast mistake, but it is idle to pick out details and find fault with them. When rightly examined it will be found in most cases that the execution has been as good as could be expected, and that the fault is traceable to the perverse desire to call the dead back to life, to convert the glorious ruins of an old Mahommedan capital into a modern Indian station. Four years ago it was the fashion amongst a certain class of people to talk about restoring Bijapur with dynamite. The proposal, though unfeeling, was more sensible than the attempt to infuse young life and vigour into a body already hoary with age and decay. No better instance than this of Bijapur could be brought to show the futility of restoring an old building or an old city. A building, like every other work of art, is a living organism, and as such has its periods of birth, life and decay. We may admire the glory of its old age; our admiration will be mingled with sadness to see what is beautiful crumbling to pieces before our eyes; our loving hands will do much to preserve its weather-beaten surfaces, but though we may prolong its life for a season, we are powerless to prevent the destiny which must overtake all. It is not ours to inspire new life, and the attempt to do so will only bring forth a living falsehood, a ghastly apparition of an old body decked out with the ornaments and vanities of youth and childhood.—I am, &c.,

Dharwar, June 27. P. A. H.

RAKHMABAI AND DR. KIRTIKAR.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

SIR,—In justice to me, I hope, you will allow me a little space in your columns, touching Rakhmabai's letter published this morning.

There is no doubt I am the young "Hindu doctor" alluded to by her. The passages she quotes may be from my letters addressed to him from England, for his having attended my late lamented wife in a terrible illness. It has hitherto been my good fortune to have always enjoyed the "good-will" of my friends and acquaintances, and Dr. Sakharam was no exception to this, unless the writer means I was at any time under Dr. Sakharam's [illegible]. I never knew her husband [illegible] dependent on his good-will was, therefore, impossible. Dr. Sakharam took no much interest in me that he deprecated the idea of my joining the Indian Medical Service; he wanted me to be his assistant; but I had higher aims and I have every reason to feel proud that my choice was well made. It is quite true, and I feel it even now, as I wrote years ago in my letters which Rakhmabai quotes, that Dr. Sakharam's connection with me was a continuous source of gain to me and to my dear and near ones. What else could I feel in his intellectual fellowship, watching him night and day ably treating his patients in my presence? It was good schooling to me in the early days of my medical study. He attended my family professionally, as a friend he received no fees for advice, but the medicine charges were paid to a pie. Even supposing I had been personally under greater obligations than these conferred by ordinary friends "mutually"—even supposing I had been "clothed, fed and educated" by Dr. Sakharam—which is not the case—does it follow I should sell my judgment and express my gratitude in appreciating my and every act of a benefactor? I respected Dr. Sakharam in life, in death I have not ceased to do so; but my respect for truth is infinitely greater, and I have exposed the facts only under a strong sense of public duty, and not without pain. But duty is duty. The whole Hindu society is maligned, and when reform is talked of and not practised, when early marriages are cried down, and not stopped by those educated Hindus who can effectually stop them, if only a little more determination and moral courage are brought into use, is it not time people should be roused to a sense of duty? Rakhmabai says I have abused her stepfather. Let her point out one word which is called abuse in common parlance. I challenge again! She admits in her to-day's letter Dr. Sakharam got her married. This is what I too say, and more, he was sufficiently powerful in his caste to have postponed her marriage to a future day. This is not a case, if Rakhmabai's name had not been connected with the general question of reform in Hindu society, I should have treated her case as one of individual suffering much to be pitied and sympathized with. But the tactics she and her friends have adopted, and as they have been exposed, whether by Mr. Dadajee, myself, Dr. Vinayak Gidh, and others are not to be considered admirable.—Yours, &c.,

June 29. K. B. KIRTIKAR.

BYWATER, TANQUERAY & Co. (late BYWATER, PERRY & Co.) are agents for Residents abroad, Missionaries, Chaplains, &c., in every part of the world. Goods and Outfits supplied at Wholesale prices. Shipping passages arranged. Banking in all its branches. Full descriptive Catalogue post free. Offices 1-79 Queen Victoria Street, London. 1,000 References.

THE ESCAPE OF PRISONERS FROM AHMEDABAD JAIL.

IN the Resolution of Government, which we quoted recently, on the administration of the jails in the Bombay Presidency in 1886, mention was made of the escape of prisoners from Ahmedabad Jail. The escape occurred in October last, and was viewed in a very serious light by the Government. The following particulars are now published in Mr. Townley M. Filgate's report which has just been issued from the press:—

Seven prisoners escaped from the Ahmedabad Jail on the night of the 26th October, and I have already submitted full and detailed reports on the subject to Government. Briefly stated the facts are that 7 (Esthiawar) prisoners, of a total of 13, confined in an 8 as upper storied cell or barrack, contrived with an English cutting saw, without doubt conveyed to them by one of the jail establishment, to cut through two of the window bars of their cell, and using their blankets as ropes, dropped into the yard 20' below. The next proceeded to one of the factory sheds—an open building—and possessing themselves of two of the ropes of the weaving looms, passed on two where a palisade divided the work yards, and fastening one of the ropes to the top bar of the palisading managed one by one, with the assistance of the rope, to get to the top of the jail enclosure wall and lower themselves into a small garden at the rear of the jail. Passing through this garden on to the public road, and thence to the jail garden peopel, they reached the garden mote, from which the jail water-supply is obtained, overhanging the river, and fastening their remaining rope to the frame of the mote descended to the river-bed, and crossing the river, got clear away.

It can be safely affirmed that had it not been for the active vigilance of at least one member of the subordinate establishment in conveying a new version of the prisoners—how or when cannot be ascertained—the escape would not have been effected. Possibly the escape was aided by carelessness or neglect on the part of the night sentries on duty; but there is this to be said; that on a dark night, such as the night in question, and by using the cover of the factory sheds, the escaping prisoners would have had no difficulty in eluding the vigilance of the guard.

Four of the escaped prisoners were re-[illegible] before the close of the year, some of whom have [illegible] jail), and one in three or four attempts; but all efforts and inquiries to discover the guilty party amongst the jail subordinates, who procured the saw used by his prisoners, have been without success. Mr. Crusha-shank's letter addressing the Superintendent on the matter, characterized this as "the most serious escape that had taken place from inside a jail for many years."

PROPOSED TATTOOING OF HABITUAL CRIMINALS.

THE subject of the identification of habitual criminals has recently occupied much of the attention of the Supreme and Local Governments. In the Bombay Presidency in 1886, of 12,596 convicts admitted to the jails, 1,988 were habitual offenders. The number and ratio of prisoners identified as habituals before sentence was much smaller than in 1885; on the other hand the number of previously convicted prisoners identified in jail after sentence, and the number who confessed themselves to be habituals exhibit a decided increase over the figures of the previous year. The old rules having been found faulty, or insufficient, the Government of India have recently framed new and revised rules to secure the more effective registration and recognition of re-convicted offenders as well as to give an authoritative definition of the term habitual criminal. These rules [illegible] been so recently introduced that the Inspector-General of Prisons hesitates to say, until he has seen the result of their application during the current year, what their effect will be or how far they will secure the desired object. Major Humfrey, District Superintendent of Police, Ahmedabad, has suggested a very simple plan to facilitate identification. He suggests that convicts should be tattooed before leaving jail. In regard to this suggestion Mr. Filgate says:—

The adoption of such an expedient would possibly be received with a storm of disapprobation as it is that which finally put a stop to what used occasionally on to extend the branding, in the English army, of deserters with the letter D. Now, that the latter operation was one which caused anything like the physical pain produced by one cut of the cane in the corporal punishment which our Jail Act allows, speaking as an old military man who in past years has had some insight into the tattooing of deserters I do not for one moment believe; nay, I will go further, for having myself often suffering from an attack of lumbago undergone the operation which is known as "acupuncture," and which is precisely similar in character to the skin-perforating process which formed the first step in tattooing of deserters with the letter D., I affirm most distinctly that the pain which would be caused to a prisoner by his being marked in the manner proposed would be practically nil. Recognising as I do the [illegible] to mistake evidence for strength—the kind of man who have succeeded in [illegible] a disastrous conflict in the Afghan highlands. There is talk now of our having some day to fight Russia on the Helmand. One writer even goes so far as to talk of this Afghan river as the natural frontier of our Indian Empire, and we shall perhaps hear of the Helmand again in connection with the absurd and wicked proposal for a Russo-English partition of Afghanistan.

A MAGISTRATE'S IDEAS OF CRUELTY.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

SIR,—I observe in your issue of to-day, that in disposing of some cases brought up by the agent of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Mr. Hamilton cautioned the agent that if he again brought up such a frivolous complaint as that of a person carrying fowls by their legs with their heads hanging down, he (Mr. Hamilton) would fine the agent Rs. 10 for making a frivolous charge. I am shocked to perceive that there is really one man in office, who is capable of considering the carrying of fowls by their tied legs with their heads hanging down a frivolous act unworthy of attention. I should have thought that any one with a speck of human feeling would have condemned such a cruel practice. Perhaps Mr. Hamilton has never seen the engorged vessles, bloodshot eyes, protruding palpitating tongue, and throbbing throat and chest of a poor unfortunate helpless fowl so conveyed. I have seen it, and it is horrible to a feeling man. It is the most exquisite torture and cruelty that can be practised, and if Mr. Hamilton is in earnest in his views of the matter, the sooner the question is decided by unmistakable legislation the better.—Yours, &c.,

MERCIUS.

RAINFALL IN BOMBAY.

	Santa Cruz.		Fort.		Colaba.	
	In. cts.		In. cts.		In. cts.	
Previously registered	22	40	23	24	19	57
June 28, Tuesday	0	78	0	17	0	15
" 29, Wednesday	0	50	0	69	0	43
Total	23	68	23	10	20	15
Total corresponding date of last year	39	66	42	42	40	97

	Colaba.		Malabar Hill.	
	In. cts.		In. cts.	
Previously registered	23	06		
June 28, Tuesday	0	25		
" 29, Wednesday				
Total	23	31		
Total corresponding date of last year	41	55		

MALABAR HILL.

	Inch.	Cents.
Up to June 29, 10 a.m.	0	5
Previously acknowledged	21	7
Total	21	12

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

GOVERNMENT OBSERVATORY.

BOMBAY, THE JUNE, 1887.

Hours of observations [illegible] of 8 a.m., 10 a.m., 2 p.m., 4 p.m., and 10 p.m.

Barometer corrected to Sea. Deg.	29.705
Temperature of the Air	82·0
Wet Bulb Thermometer	76·1
Dew Point	70·7
Relative humidity of Air	83
Extent of cloudy sky (the whole sky clouded=10)	9·0
Minimum temperature of air (read at 8 p.m.)	81·7
Maximum do. do. (read at 10 a.m.)	77·6
General Direction of Wind	WSW, W, WNW, W.
Movement of wind in 24 hours	264
Fall of Rain	0·39
Total fall during month	23·60
" " year	37·21
Amount of rain up to 10 a.m. of 29th	0·01
" " midnight to midnight	0·01

LORD SALISBURY AND [illegible]

Vanity Fair which, though Conservative to the point of fanaticism, has, like the *Standard*, preserved the faculty of seeing further ahead than the necessities of the Unionist Alliance—to which as Lord Randolph Churchill declared with the authority of the Cabinet that the Foreign Policy of the Ministry should be subordinated—thus delivers itself in regard to the Russo-Afghan question in its present phase:—

Telegrams from Bombay state that "it is understood that the British Government considers Russia bound by her undertaking to regard Afghanistan as outside the limit where she desires to exert influence, and consequently will not, under any circumstances which it can foresee, join Russia in order to settle affairs in Afghanistan by mutual agreement." Now, I do not see that either in form or substance, this statement invalidates, as no doubt it is intended to, what I have recently said with regard to Russian and English co-operation in regard to Afghan affairs. With the diplomatic disavowals and denials of recent years fresh in my memory, I attach very little importance indeed to the shifts of secret diplomacy to cover up its tracks. They are merely intended to hide them from the sight of the intended victims, who, in this instance, are the Afghan and British peoples. I stated some months ago in these columns that it was quite well understood at the Foreign Office that it was only a question of time how soon Russia got to Herat, and that the most we could do would be to make it as difficult as possible for her to take it. That opinion still prevails at the Foreign Office, and is confirmed by the Russian movements going on over our Northern and North-West Indian frontiers. The facts as they stand are, that Russian troops, stores, transport, and telegraphs are being steadily moved up to the Afghan frontier on one side, and that on the other, British and Indian troops, telegraphs, and transports are [illegible] towards Candahar, while preparations are [illegible] for the concentration of [illegible] at short notice.

It does not [illegible] forces are going to [illegible] necessarily [illegible] to [illegible] the Oxus, the Russians, [illegible] by no means [illegible] and the British [illegible] Those who had settled the whole [illegible] Thorne ever since 1876, and Lord Salisbury himself, [illegible] of January, 1877, soon [illegible] war broke out, but as there is no obstacle in the way of its execution, I happen to know—and I challenge contradiction to my statement—that Lord Salisbury's principal anxiety during the siege of Plevna was not lest the Turks might be forced to surrender, but lest the Russians might be compelled to raise the siege. The Turks in the latter case would, of course, Foreign Secretary said, become impracticable to deal with. He was greatly relieved when Osman and the remnant of his brave troops surrendered. There was no formal understanding with Russia then so far as Turkey was concerned, any more than there is now about Afghanistan; but there was then, as there is now, a tacit understanding, which was as fatal to the Turks as it will be to the Afghan.

There seems every reason to believe that Prince Dolgoruki has finally decided to throw [illegible] entirely into the arms of Russia, and to use whatever influence he may possess to create trouble and confusion for us in India. The Russians of course may be trusted to use him to the best advantage for their own purposes. I therefore anticipate hearing before long that he has left Moscow, where he is for the present under the charge of M. Katkoff, for the Afghan frontier. From there he will soon open communications to the people of the Punjab, which cannot fail to raise disturbances among as excitable a people as inhabit that part of India. If people would only give some of the attention they are now bestowing on the Irish red-herring that is being dragged about the country, to what is going on in the inner recesses of the Foreign Office, we should, I think, be saved some serious future trouble.

In another article on the subject, the same paper says:—

As matters stand, the Czar can when he likes, occupy not only Herat, but also Balkh; and, even without occupying them, his Generals can easily produce a revolution which would put Afghan Princes of the Russian faction, like Ayub Khan or Jalander Khan, in command of those two places. This last will be more probably the course that the Russian Generals will adopt. They have the two Princes ready at hand. Ayub is in Persia, and only the week Jalander Khan has been made Governor of the district of [illegible] with the rank of General in the Russian [illegible] The object, a revolution at Herat and [illegible] will be [illegible] to [illegible] an advance to Candahar. There are signs at the already even on to extend the branding, in the English army, of deserters with the letter D. Now, that the latter operation was one which caused anything like the physical pain produced by one cut of the cane in the corporal punishment which our Jail Act allows, speaking as an old military man who in past years has had some insight into the tattooing of deserters I do not for one moment believe; nay, I will go further, for having myself often suffering from an attack of lumbago undergone the operation which is known as "acupuncture," and which is precisely similar in character to the skin-perforating process which formed the first step in tattooing of deserters with the letter D., I affirm most distinctly that the pain which would be caused to a prisoner by his being marked in the manner proposed would be practically nil. Recognising as I do the [illegible] to mistake evidence for strength—the kind of man who have succeeded in [illegible] a disastrous conflict in the Afghan highlands. There is talk now of our having some day to fight Russia on the Helmand. One writer even goes so far as to talk of this

not at that time any rounds of the new of heavy shells in Malta. I will tell you another story still more startling. You remember the expedition to Khartoum and the formation of the [illegible] which was to cut itself off at its base and plunge into the Desert. The life of that column depended upon its being properly equipped; yet even that column started and was in motion before it was found that a great portion of the shells which had been sent out for it artillery were too large for the guns which accompanied the column; and another great portion of the shells had either not been filled or were so imperfectly filled that they would not explode. At the close of last year you remember how critical the state of Europe was. If we had been called upon to go to war there was not one single cartridge in store for our machine guns. I will tell you another thing, and I think this is the worst of all. I heard this the other day on the highest authority; I have no doubt the Admiralty will contradict it, but that contradiction will be as worthless as Lord Wolseley's statement which I read at the beginning. The Monarch, one of our powerful armoured ships, came into harbour and required heavy guns for her turret. There was none to give her. They took two heavy guns from Spithead and Portsmouth, and put them on board the Monarch, and they are only capable of firing seventy rounds. Therefore you see that during the last thirteen years you have spent no less than twenty-six millions of money in providing as you thought for a proper accumulation of munitions of war, guns, and warlike stores. Now you understand what this system is against which I wish to bring, if possible the pressure of the English people (cheers). Now I have a splendid story to tell you a story which has just come out and which is very little known. A very important department of the War Office is the Ordnance Department and they are under the impression that they are capable of designing heavy and powerful guns. In 1883 and 1884 they designed the gun called the 43-ton gun and they called on the great firm of Messrs Armstrong to construct fifteen of these guns. Messrs Armstrong who know a great deal more about gun construction than the Ordnance Committee suggested that the gun was a bad design and would be a bad gun. The Ordnance Department told Messrs Armstrong to mind their own business and make the guns. The guns [illegible] cost 200,000l. When they were sent to Woolwich they were to be put in ships of war and sent to sea. A Government official Captain Noble told the Department "Do not send these guns to sea; they are bad guns and cannot stand the charge which you will put into them." The Ordnance Department told Captain Noble to mind his own business. Away go the guns to sea four on board the Collingwood. At the second round of firing with only half the charge one of those guns burste. Right away the whole of the guns are recalled and condemned. The whole of the expenditure of 200,000l has been found to be worthless. Now would you believe it if you had to go to war to-morrow, four of those precious guns are being kept in reserve in order to be placed on board the Collingwood (shame). Therefore the sailors of the Collingwood will know that they will only be able to engage with heavy artillery with guns which, it is a thousand to one, will burst. You would think that the officials responsible for those guns [illegible] have fled from the country. Not [illegible] at the present moment [illegible] in the War Office [illegible] spending large sums [illegible] the Royal Commission [illegible] the ordnance report [illegible] place; and they receive [illegible] appear to be [illegible] as expenditure [illegible] that it is very unfortunate if nothing better can be devised (laughter). That is, however, no laughing matter.

A USELESS FLEET.

Now we will turn to the Admiralty, and I think you will find that your fleet is not so powerful as it appears to be. In 1883 the Admiralty launched the Ajax and the Agamemnon. It was found that if they steamed more than eight miles an hour they did not steer, and that they were really unmanageable, and, therefore perfectly useless. Those two ships cost 810,000l. The Agamemnon has been sent to the China station, and you will probably never hear of it again. I do not know what has become of the Ajax. They could both be sent to the bottom by almost any adversary. The Admiralty then designed and turned out the Imperieuse—a very powerful ship, armed in a particular way. When she was launched she was found to draw four feet more water than they supposed. All the armour it was intended she should have above water was below water, and therefore she became utterly unprotected; upon her the Admiralty spent 500,000l. Then the Admiralty went on to construct six very large ships of the Admiral class—one was the Collingwood. They are supposed to be protected ships, and able to engage the heavy artillery of the land forts or of hostile ironclads; yet they are so defectively constructed and so little protected that they are, to all intents and purposes, utterly unprotected. The ships of the Admiral class cost 4,500,000. Some are finished now, and the others are to be finished at the end of 1889. It is on ships of the Admiral class that the British nation have got to depend to defend the coast. Suppose that they had got to engage against the heavy artillery of land forts or of ironclads, our sailors of the Collingwood, for instance, would know that they had a gun certain to burst, and a ship that could be perforated at a dozen fatal points and sent to the bottom (shame.) The Admiralty then proceeded to construct the Victory and Renown, which a person high in authority at the Admiralty considered to be worse than the ships of the Admiral class, and upon which they propose to spend 160,000l. In 1885 it occured to the Admiralty that they would not do a bad thing to change their constructor; but I am sorry to say the new one does not seem to be able altogether to repair those faults. I now have to call your attention to seven more ships, belted cruisers of the Australia class, designed to have 18 inches belt of armour above the water line; it is now discovered that when these ships have got the full quantity of coal on board to enable them to keep the sea the belt of armour meant to protect them will be six inches below the water line (laughter). The total cost of those seven ships will be two millions of money, and what I have said about them is frankly stated by the First Lord of the Admiralty in the official statement laid before Parliament.

TEN MILLIONS THROWN AWAY.

What is the grand result of all these? That during the last twelve or thirteen years, 18 ships have been designed by the Admiralty for which, on the strength of the Admiralty's statement, Parliament has faithfully voted ten millions of the taxpayers' money; and it is now discovered and acknowledged that in respect of the purposes for which these ships were designed the whole of these ten millions had been absolutely thrown away (shame). You can imagine how strongly I feel on this point and I want to make you as angry and furious as I am myself, and to bring down upon those responsible for this state of things the anger and even the vengeance of the people (cheers). With all this the cost of the system itself has increased to the nation. The War Office has increased its own direct cost since 1875 by 5,000l. a year. The [illegible] vote of the Army has increased since 1875 by over 300,000l. a year, and your [illegible] by 3,500,000l. (shame). The cost of the [illegible] system of the Admiralty has increased since 1875 by 250,000l. a year, the usual pensions have increased by 250,000l. a year, the civil pensions of the Admiralty have increased by 50,000l. a year; and the total amount of the non-effective vote of the Navy is 2,000,000l. Thus you have a total vote for the non-effective of the Army and Navy of over 5,000,000l. a year. All the establishments of clerks with their high salaries at the Admiralty, is susceptible of very considerable reduction. The officials appear to be perfectly reckless. The Controller and Auditor General in his report for 1886, informs Parliament that the contractors for steam machinery received 38,000l. more than they had a right to receive; the contractors for shipbuilding received 50,000l. more or a total of 88,000l of public money given away to the contractors. I cannot bring this state of things before Parliament as the time of Parliament is taken up, and it is only by bringing it before you that I can get these things before the public. The Committee which has brought these facts out say that there is no practical engineering department at the Admiralty with business capacity to [illegible] own rope, and the cost of manufacture exceeds that of the trade by 25 per cent.; and in that one department alone it is possible to save 50,000l. a year. Then there are lots of other curiosities. It came out in evidence that the Admiralty sent preserved meats from Deptford to Australia, where they are manufactured; they sent sugar and rum to Jamaica; flour to Hong-Kong; and rice to India (laughter). You spend a large sum of money to maintain at Portsmouth, Woolwich, and Greenwich extensive chemical laboratories; yet these laboratories with their highly paid officials have not yet been able to devise a single fuse which can be relied upon to burst a shell. I have given you an idea of the nature of the expenditure against which I protested and the system to which I fell a victim. It is a system which, I deeply regret to say, the Prime Minister, the First Lord of the Admiralty, and the Secretary of War, being badly advised, banded themselves together to support. I had to resign because I would not have a hand over the rate payers' money for this rotten and profligate expenditure (cheers). The Committee which might have brought about a better state of things will not now be appointed in time, for the work of the Session will be too far advanced. It could not give more than twelve or thirteen sittings to the investigation, which would need three times that number of sittings to do the business. That is so discouraging that I feel I cannot do anything without the help of the English people (cheers).

LORD RANDOLPH CHURCHILL'S PLAN.

I have not passed all these months thinking out this matter without devising a plan of dealing with the evil; but I will keep it to myself for the present, because I want to see whether the British people are prepared to make great efforts to alter the present state of things. My plan is most undoubtedly based upon the most sweeping reform of these two departments, and, if it was adopted, you would, in a year or eighteen months, be placed in a state of fairly perfect naval and military preparation; yet it is a plan which would admit of a reduction in the naval and military expenditure by a sum of nearly four millions. But this much is certain—you will never get economy in your public service until you have in office Ministers who believe, honestly and genuinely in economy. Economy and efficiency are inseparable. I trust the Democracy will see the importance of this question. Finance is the weak point of Democratic governments. The Tory Party have still a great and golden opportunity, and I make no doubt that they will seize that opportunity, if only they see that you, the people, are really in earnest about the matter. If the great mass of the intelligent voters in the town do not bestir themselves and take up this question of economy, and put pressure upon members, we shall travel at racing speed along the downward road which before long, must lead to tremendous and irreparable, and to utterly fatal and imperial disaster (cheers, during which the noble Lord resumed his seat).

SHIPPING INTELLIGENCE.

The B I S N Co's steamer Ellora, 1,470 tons, sailed yesterday, for Galle, under Captain T E Gillet, in ballast.

The steamer Aimara, 2,181 tons, Captain J Twaits, arrived in Bombay yesterday afternoon in ballast, from Perim (June 23.)

The steamer Clan Forbes, 1,500 tons, Captain J [illegible], sailed from Bombay yesterday, laden with a general cargo and with one passenger, Mrs Meuesse, on board, for Dunkirk.

VESSELS IN PRINCE'S DOCK.

S. Nubia	319	[illegible]	A	W. & A. Graham & Co.
" Kerala	274	Butcher	B	W. & A. Graham
" Lydia	[illegible]	R. F. [illegible]	C	P. & O. S. N. Co.
" Deccan	2032	Case	D	P. & O. Co.
" Rochliff	1497	J. L. Bartlet	E	C. Eduljee.
" C. Murray	1372	Warfolk	F	E. Muir & Co.
" Greystroke	1377	P. W. Sherrewood	K	J. Mackintosh & Co.
" Kashgar	[illegible]	C. Good	L	P. & O. Co.
" M. Hall	2648	C. Barnes	M	E. Bates & Co.
" Conch	1122	S. Green	N	Captain.
" Kt. Bruce	1299	McGill	P	K. Nixon & Co.
" Wensley dale	2240	J. Vermill	Q	E. Bates & Co.
" Lord C[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	W. & A. Graham & Co.
Ship Ardencraig	1377	Humphries	[illegible]	Walsh Lovett & Co.
S.S. Manilla	[illegible]	J. G. Hawsagar	[illegible]	E. Muir & Co.
" Tarpeia	1182	H. Care	[illegible]	Ralli Brothers.
" Brookfield	1309	A. Stabell	[illegible]	Beyts Craig & Co
" Wivenhoe	1225	G Gulley	[illegible]	Beyts Craig & Co
" Gerra	1992	W. H. Thomas	[illegible]	Beyts Craig & Co
" Neera	198	Fekeur Md.	[illegible]	Shepherd & Co.

Off Sandheads.

ARRIVAL.

June.	Vessels.	Commander	From.
30	Aimara (s)	Twaits	Perim, June 23.

DEPARTURES.

June.	Vessels.	Commander	Destination.
29	Peggie Dey	[illegible]	Mauritius.
"	Clan Forbes (s)	Wigto	Dunkirk.
"	Ellora (s)	Gillet	Galle.

CALCUTTA

ARRIVALS.

June. [illegible]

21 Sirocco s [illegible] Cardiff May 4.
28 Diamond s [illegible] Rangoon, May 27.
" Lothiana s [illegible] Liverpool, May 5.
" Nevada s [illegible] Singapore, June 3.

DEPARTURE.

June 23.—Steamers Ensleag, Ashleigh Brook, Thames and Osmanli and Batujee Hafu.

MADRAS.

ARRIVALS.

June

23 Aline, s [illegible] Colombo.
26 G. Drummond, s [illegible] Calcutta.
" D. of Buccleuch, s [illegible] Calcutta.
27 Thames, P. & O. s. [illegible] Calcutta.
" Rewa, s [illegible] London.

DEPARTURE.

June.

26 Asia, s [illegible] Negapatam.

DAILY EXPORTS.—JUNE 29.

FOR LIVERPOOL.

Persia s—36 bales wool, P. Jowraj; 17 bales twist, G. Bhagwandas, 12 cases Indian condiments, Cursetjee Muncherjee and Sons.

Morton Hall s—65 bales wool, Sajji Sowji; 100 bales cotton, A. Corsee; 25 bales wool, Punnett and Co; 125 bales cotton, D Sassoon and Co; 750 qrs wheat, N Khatau; 100 bales cotton fly, F L Batliboy.

Sc Regulus s—300 bales cotton, O Khetsee.

Esther Roy s—2,000 qrs mill-bones, N Easta.

FOR NEW YORK.

Persia s—12 cases Indian wood work, &c., Swart Latham and Co; 75 bales wool, Punnett and Co.

FOR LONDON.

Kangra s—4 bales hides, Owen and Okell; 11 bales hides, sod skins, W Canji and Co.

Rohilla s—220 bales cotton, Ralli Brothers.

FOR HULL.

Sagrobolone s—3,000 cwts wheat Ralli Brothers.

FOR DUNKIRK.

Federation s—1,000 cwts wheat, Lyon and Co.

Bodouin s—200 bales cotton, G Lockhart and Co.

FOR ANTWERP.

Wivenhoe (s), 3,750 cwts groundnuts, Ralli Bros; 582 cwts gingelly seed, Volkart Bros; 2,001 cwts rapeseed, J C Bushby and Co.

Cy. of Philadelphia (s), 40 bales cotton, Volkart Bros.

St Oswald (s), 2,001 cwts rapeseed, J C Bushby and Co.

Manitoba (s), 3,000 cwts rapeseed and 3,000 cwts groundnuts, Ralli Bros.

FOR HAVRE.

Rockliff (s), 300 bales cotton, George Lockhart and Co.

FOR BORDEAUX.

Teelin Head (s), 10,000 cwts wheat, The Bombay Co, Ld.

FOR MARSEILLES.

Worsley Hall (s), 960 cwts groundnuts, Lang Moir and Co.

FOR VENICE.

Nizam (s), 55 bales cotton, Gaddum Bythell and Co 4,000 cwts castor seed, Volkart Bros.

FOR GENOA.

Worsley Hall (s), 300 bales cotton, and 100 cwts wheat, Ralli Bros.

Athabasca (s), 11,649 cwts gingelly seed, 499 cwts rapeseed, and 2,500 cwts linseed, Volkart Bros.

Germania (s), 4,000 cwts wheat, J C Bushby and Co.

FOR NAPLES.

Greystoke (s), 532 cwts wheat, Ritchie Stewart and Co: 10,000 cwts wheat, Ralli Bros.

FOR LEGHORN.

Singapore (s), 5,000 cwts wheat, Killick Nixon and Co.

FOR KOBE

Geelong (s), 22 bales twist, The K Makanji S and W Co.

FOR HONGKONG.

Geelong (s), 136 cwts shark fins and fishmaws, M Nathoo; 90 bales twist, The Prince of Wales S and W Co.

Deccan (s), 25 bales cotton N J Sassoon; 200 bales twist, T Topen; 50 bales twist, S E Sassoon; 218 bales, twist, E D Sassoon and Co; 200 bales twist, and 137 cwts shark fins C Rhum; 149 bales cotton, G Gopiram; 151 bales cotton, D Sassoon and Co.

FOR SHANGHAI.

Geelong (s), 50 bales twist, The Prince of Wales S and W Co; 48 bales twist, The Khatoo M S and W Co.

Deccan (s), 25 bales twist, N J Sassoon.

MILITARY INTELLIGENCE.

ARRIVAL.—JUNE 28.

Hojel, Brigade Surgn, Bo I M D, from leave, from Poona.

DEPARTURE.—JUNE 28.

Way, Lt, Bo, 13th N I, to rejoin, [illegible]

BOMBAY VOLUNTEER RIFLE CORPS.

REGIMENTAL ORDER

By Lieut-Col. The Hon. L. H. Bayley, Commandant, B.V.R.C.

Bombay, June 30th, 1887.

No. 2529 Vol P C Fernandes having joined is taken on the strength of the Corps from this date and posted to F Company.

By Order,
(Sd.) G. W. ROUGHTON, Capt.,
Officiating Adjutant, B. V. R. Corps.

HIGH COURT—JUNE 30.

(Before the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bayley.)

For Judgment.

240-86—Maofarlane E and S—Kravji Kessowji and others vs Nathu Laljee and others—Little S F and N.

Suits for hearing and final disposal.

Long Causes.

104-83—Jellerson, B and D—Chooneilal Saknichund vs. Dayacore and others—Payne, G and S.

For further direction on Commissioner's report.

9-83—Little, S F and N—Jamsetji Nusserwanji Tata and others vs Bashoobai and another—Pestonji and R and Nanu and H.

Long Causes.

50-87—Payne, G and S—Dost Mahomed Karimbhoy vs Ebrahimbhoy Karimbhoy and others—Ardesir H and D and W and G.

414-86—Chalk W and S—F Chalk and another vs Rustomjee Dewji and others—Little, S F and N.

41-87—Little S F and N—Chooniial Vurjeswandas vs Marwady Jokhimam Jeeraj—Hore, C and B.

(Before the Hon Mr. Justice Farran.)

For Judgment.

340-86—Balkrishna and D—Abdoola Parroo vs Gumna and others—In person and T A Bland.

287-86—Jedjeebhoy Hirjibhoy—Chunilal Jodhraj vs The G I P R and others—Little S F and N, and Chalk W and S.

Crawford and H—[illegible] nath Shunkerrett vs Luxumeekrao Juggonnath and O.

Suits for hearing and final disposal.

Long Causes.

[illegible]—Little, S F and N—Bhawoo Morobu vs Ambaram Bayaebhunker—In person. Part heard.

554-86—Muljee, B S—Malloo Mukoon vs Parmanundas Jewandas and another—Little, S. F and N—Part heard.

38-87—In person Choonilal Meghal vs Shrimun Bainchand—Payne G and S.

462-86—Payne, G and S—The Achut Manufacturing Co vs Ameer of Bokhara, a Prince of the Czar; the other is in Russia, and is about to marry a Russian lady, having abjured Islam and become a Christain.

196-86—Winter and L—Jamsetjee Postonjee Pochajee and another vs Shapurjee Nowrojee Pochajee and others—Muljee B B Tobin and R, and in person.

VICTOR HUGO'S REMINISCENCES.

The new prose work by Victor Hugo, entitled "Choses Vues," which has just been published, is (the Paris correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph* says) most interesting reading. The poet describes with his own graphic touch the events through which he has passed, or in which he was an actor, and makes the scenes depicted as vivid as reality. Take, for example, his picture of the embalming of the French Machiavelli, Talleyrand.

This man died on the 17th of May, 1838. The doctors came and embalmed the body. In order to do that in the Egyptian fashion, they drew the entails from the body and the brains from the skull. This done, and after they had transformed Prince Talleyrand into a mummy and nailed this mummy down in a coffin lined with white satin, they went away, leaving on the table the brains—those brains which had thought so many things, inspired so many men, built so many edifices, led two revolutions, deceived twenty kings, and kept the world within bound. When the doctors left a footman entered and saw what they had forgotten. He suddenly remembered that there was a drain in the street outside; so off he went, and threw the brains into it. "Finis rerum!"

There is no space for the poet's magnificent account of Talleyrand's last days, which is in sufficient juxtaposition Frenchmen for Thackeray's sarcastic account of that solemnity; but references may be made to the confidences which King Louis Philippe poured into the ear of the illustrious author of "Choses Vues."

King Louis Philippe said to me the other day, "I was never in love but once in my life." "And with whom?" "With Mdme. de Genlis." "But, sire, she was your governess." The King laughed, and replied "Yes, and a severe one, I promise you. She brought up my sisters and myself with ferocity. She had us out of bed at six o'clock in the morning, winter as well as summer. We never had anything but milk, roast meat, and bread; no sweetmeats nor delicacies; all work and no play. She taught me to sleep on a plank and to bleed like a barber, to mend chairs and tables, to use bricks and mortar, and to tend horses, like an ostler. As I grew up I saw that she was pretty. I did not know what was the matter with me when I was under the spell of her presence; but she knew, and she treated me badly. That was the time when she was Miraboau's mistress. She used to say to me every moment, 'Now then, Monsieur de Chartres, you great booby, what is the matter with you, and why are you always after me?' She was thirty-six then, and I was eighteen."

The book abounds with anecdotes such as this. Everything described shows the keen and comprehensive observation of the poet, who does not allow the smallest detail to escape him.

DEATH OF A FAMOUS CONJUROR.

Professor Herrmann, the "Prince of Conjurors," as he called himself, died on Wednesday evening, at Carlsbad, after a short illness, at the age of seventy-two. The Vienna correspondent of the *Standard* gives the following sketch of the Professor's career:—"Professor Herrmann was born in Hanover, his father also being a conjuror by profession. While still a boy he accompanied his father to Paris, where the great skill of the young conjuror attracted much attention. He seems, however, to have got tired of living by his wits, and, entering the Paris University, he studied medicine, living in great poverty in the Quartier Latin. After some ten years of this he gave it up, and definitely resumed his old profession, which ultimately brought him honours and a large fortune. His tours extended over the whole world, and there was probably not a single royal Court in Europe before which he did not perform. The unfortunate Sultan, Abdul Aziz, was amongst his warmest admirers, and used to pay him a thousand pounds Turkish for every representation. During one of those performances he exhibited two pigeons, one white and one black, and managed to place the white head on the black pigeon and rice versa. This pleased the Sultan greatly, and he asked Herrmann to try the same trick with a black and a white slave, but the conjuror declared that that was beyond his powers. On another occasion he took a rare and valuable watch from the Sultan and pretended to throw it into the sea, his Majesty, of course, finding it again in his own pocket. The Czar Nicholas also bestowed great favours on Herrmann, who, it is said, received a million roubles for one Russian tour. He was very charitable, and only a few days before his death sent 1,500 fr. for the relief of the victims of the Opera Comique disaster. In Vienna, where he was very popular, and where, two years ago, he celebrated his seventieth birthday, a distinguished company assembled in his residence to congratulate him. He leaves a large fortune, and a collection of rare antiquities which he spared no trouble or cost in amassing. A few months ago he told me that he had written his memoirs, which he believed would be of great interest, giving an account of his varied experiences. He leaves a widow, a French lady. She was his second wife; his first, from whom he was divorced, being the famous Vienna *prima donna* Mdme. Caillag, who is still living here as a teacher of singing.

The most remarkable items of his inexhaustible programme were his tricks with cards. In Portugal he performed the following trick before Don Fernando. He handed the King a loaded six-barrelled revolver, and requested his Majesty to fire at him. The King did so, and Herrmann caught five bullets in succession with his hand. The sixth missed its aim, and struck a large looking-glass, which it starred with innumerable cracks. Then Herrmann himself fired at the glass, which at once became whole and fruitless again. "You are the devil in person," exclaimed the King. "So I am," replied Herrmann, "but poor devil." Among the many humorous stories told of Herrmann, it is narrated that at a market at Buffalo he bought a basket of eggs, which he broke one by one before the eyes of the farmer who sold them, apparently producing from each a gold coin. Thereupon the farmer is said to have refused to sell any more of his eggs, breaking them himself in the hope of also finding a gold piece in each. In 1873 Herrmann lost his fortune of nearly 2,000,000 florins through speculations on the Bourse. He then set forth on a series of long tours through France, Russia, Austria, and America, and in a few years had recovered the amount of his loss."

THE EXILED PRINCE OF BOKHARA.

A Punjabi writes as follows to the *St. James's Gazette*:—"Those Feringhis," a famous Asiatic ruler once observed—meaning thereby the English—"so powerful and clever in the arts and diplomacy, so talented in organising an army, bring everywhere success in their train." Yet even in those days it was impossible to hold such a high opinion of us for long. Although no Royal Commission reported on our ordnance stores, certain things came to pass which led the Ameer Nasralla to think meanly of our talents in army organisation, and he ordered the execution of two English officers who had trusted themselves to his hospitality. With a descendant of this Prince of believers (so ran his title; his nickname "the Butcher" fitted him better) I had recently some correspondence. The grandson of the Usbeg Ameer who cruelly murdered Stoddart and Conolly has been for the last few years a pensioner of the Indian Government, residing at one of our frontier stations. He used to play polo, now and then, with the officers of the garrison, and might often be seen at a race-meeting. He was a man worth knowing. This is what the late General Skobeleff wrote of him:—"Personal bravery and a life full of adventure have made him in some sort the unquestioned leader of the Musulman party and representative of Musulman fanaticism. In the hands of the English such a man may become a powerful and dangerous weapon."

According to a report telegraphed by Reuter from Bombay, "An active Afghan and Bokharan intrigue is proceeding, with the object of persuading Abdul Malikti Tore, brother of the Ameer of Bokhara, to leave Abbottabad and go to Bokhara." This is the man who, Skobeleff thought, might become in our hands a powerful and dangerous weapon. He wants little persuasion to make him start for Central Asia; and it is no secret in India that when his father, the Ameer Mazaffur-ud-Din, died, the exiled Prince would have gone straight to Bokhara had the Indian Government allowed him to.

His career has indeed been an adventurous one, and a biography of this descendant of Jhenghis Khan—this Prince who lost his heritage because he was not the Russians—might perhaps be found worth reading. As I told me he was writing the story of his life, but I presume his hand held a sword oftener than the pen. When his father submitted to General Kaufmann 1867, he raised the standard of revolt and was proclaimed Khanate Ishara at Karshi. The Ameer applied to the marched against him, General Abramoff accordingly and drove him across the Oxus Pamirs, defeated him. Sheer Ali refused to help him, and fled to Cabul, but Kashgar, at that time ruled by Yakoob, he went to Yarkand. He married a lady of Kashgar, both afterwards quarrelled with Yakoob, who imprisoned him. On being released he came to Lahore, and there offered his services to the British Government. We had no work for him, and he went off on a pilgrimage to Mecca, to reappear in India a short time afterwards. He now took up his abode at Abbottabad, not far from Peshawar, and was granted a small pension. Of his brothers, one is Ameer of Bokhara, a feudatory of the Czar; the other is in Russia, and is about to marry a Russian lady, having abjured Islam and become a Christain.

THE COMING BOOM IN SILVER.

Under this heading the *Financial News* publishes the following:—

The Stock Exchange Committee of New York have recommended the official quotation of "Silver Bullion Certificates." That important information was given yesterday in our special cable, and the influence it is likely to have on the silver market of the world can hardly be over estimated. It will very probably prove to be the first step toward the restoration of silver to its historical position as one of the precious metals. It is the act of men who have deeply studied the silver problem, and are practically acquainted with all its ramifications. They are the founders of the new National Bank in New York, an institution which is being watched in America with the greatest interest. Their chief is the Hon. Daniel Manning, formerly Secretary of the United States Treasury, and the practical manager, Mr. Jordan, was his chief assistant there.

The new National Bank, of which Mr. Manning is president, has undertaken to store silver bullion on the same system as gold is stored in New York by the Bank of America. The depositor will receive for it a certificate expressing the weight and quality of the bullion. This certificate he may transfer to anybody else, and whoever presents it at the bank can claim at any moment an equal weight and quality of bullion. It becomes a negotiable instrument which may pass from hand to hand the same as petroleum certificates or our own metal warrants. The fact of the New York Stock Exchange giving them a quotation [illegible] for them as [illegible] the United States, and the [illegible] doubt that a very active business will be done in them. Silver will once more be made an article of free trade without the help of Governments which are all at sixes and sevens among themselves as to how it should be treated.

Mr. Manning has always been a great believer in the silver certificate, and it is going to be the brightest jewel in his crown as a practical financier. He was the first to realise its capabilities at the United States Treasury, and he is now going to take the lead in their development on a world-wide scale. In future there will be less and less necessity to grumble about gold and silver all over the Union in the matter of moving the crops or meeting exceptional demands for currency. In place of the metals themselves, gold and silver certificates will be sent. If this great economy of labour and expense be of importance as between New York and San Francisco, or Chicago and New Orleans, surely it will be much more so as between markets separated from each other by a hemisphere. Why should London not utilise this cheap and safe method of remittance in its very complicated transaction with India? We have no need to be jealous of New York as a silver market, as our own ambition has never lain that way. Our trade in silver is a mere transit trade, and a very hand-to-mouth affair at that. When our Indian banks realise the convenience offered by these New York silver certificates they will probably begin to use them; but if they prefer not to be dependent on New York, what is to prevent them adopting Mr. Manning's idea and carrying it out for themselves? Were they to appoint one of their number to act as a depository of silver and an issuer of certificates, they might have the whole system in operation in a few weeks. They would have to decide for themselves whether it would be more convenient to have the depository in India or in London, or both. By-and-by the India Council, instead of manufacturing its own drafts, which are merely silver certificates in disguise, might settle its claims on the Indian Government by means of the certificates current in the market. For that matter the Indian Treasury itself might become an issuer of silver certificates on the same principle as the United States Treasury, and these, when recognised as an international form of money, might be cashed in London, Berlin, Paris, or New York as readily as in Bombay or Calcutta.

The outcome of the whole question is [illegible] ternational market. [illegible] is about to be created, and if our Radical "gold maniacs" do not, with their usual mischievedness and dogmatism, try to stop the way, the movement may develop very rapidly. Whether they like it or not, it is destined to succeed because it is founded on sound and sensible principles. They may delay it for a time, but they cannot stop it. In our opinion it is going to be the ultimate solution of the silver question, and will not only put an end to the undue depreciation of silver, but will turn the market very rapidly the other way. Behind these silver certificates there is a great silver boom coming.

SIR TEMPLE ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RESOURCES OF BURMAH.

Sir Richard Temple (says the *Spectator*) has not yet made his mark in Parliament, and though *Punch* bestows on him the compliment of frequent caricature reporters hardly understand the estimate of him, accepted by Anglo-Indians. He has, however, governed great Provinces successfully; he has laid down lines of railway faster than any man alive; he has fought with a great famine and beaten it; and he is entitled, when he makes a serious proposal, to have it seriously considered. He has made one in the *National Review* for June, and one so large, not to say gigantic, and at the same time so enticing, that if it were in the least favoured by the Indian Government, half the industries of the kingdom, the whole army of exporters, shipowners, ironmasters, engineers, and railway speculators would be in a fever of excitement. Sir Richard Temple has been accustomed to think on a large scale, and instead of discussing trumpery markets like Central Asia, with a trade two-thirds of which is absorbed by a single firm, or Thibet, where commerce can only be carried on by caravan, he offers his countrymen a monopoly of the trade of a hundred millions of nearly civilised men, and of huge districts as rich and [illegible] as Bengal, a trade conveyed throughout on English railways.

Englishmen in general as yet scarcely understand what has fallen to them, both in advantage and in responsibility, through the acquisition of Burma. Sir R. Temple, however, does understand, and he points out to them through the *National Review* that in addition to their sterile and expensive North-West frontier, which it takes armies to protect and whole services to watch, they have now a North-Eastern, or, as we should prefer to call it, an Eastern frontier, stretching in one unbroken land line for two thousand miles from Tenasserim to Talifoo, and impinging directly on both Siam and Western China. It is possible, by building two railways of no great length for Asia, and no great engineering difficulty, to reach both Bangkok and Yunan without touching any possession of any European Power, and thus to acquire what must be a monopoly of the trade of Sima, of the Shan States, whether Burmese or Siamese, and of Western China.

The starting point of both lines would be Moulmein,—a port hardly known in Europe except as a depôt for rice and teak, but which, lying as it does on a deep and broad estuary of the Bay of Bengal, an estuary navigable for anything, and supplied as it is by a rich though densely wooded province, will one day be the Liverpool of Indo-China, as it is already her most beautiful city. From thence one railway of five hundred miles would strike southwards to Bangkok, on the Bay of Siam, a city of four hundred thousand people, opening up on its way a land of timber and grain, full of minerals and thinly peopled with a friendly and civilised population. It is believed that the Siamese Court, which has many dangers to face, and is inclined to nestle for safety under the shadow of the British Empire, would willingly grant the necessary concessions, while it would have no difficulty in securing to the engineers and workmen the necessary protection. The second line, also starting from Moulmein, would open up Eastern Burma, now our own property, and Shan districts dependent on ourselves, till, after a course of eight hundred miles through rich and well-watered territory, it touched the Chinese frontier at a point—Sa-mao—to which the trade of Western China must converge, and from which, whenever the Court of Pekin recognises that railways would yield its revenue, a third line of only eight hundred miles would complete a direct and swift communication between Moulmein and Canton. It is believed that there are no great geographical difficulties in the road; there is no doubt about the wealth of the Siamese districts is to be traversed, the cost of the land both in Siam and Burma would be nominal, and whole tribes, both from Shan States and from the congested districts of Madras, would be available for labour. A mere section of the labourers from this Presidency do as it is the whole of the harder agricultural and other work of the island of Ceylon. The total cost of the whole enterprise, vast as it is, ought not, at Indian rates, to exceed thirteen millions.—Sir R. Temple does not mention this detail—and the traffic should not only be that derived from Siam and China, but that which must arise from the development of the overland trade in the Indian population in the districts traversed, which are, in the aggregate, half the size of France, and as favourable for tropical agriculture.

That is a great proposal, the opening up of a market almost as great as India itself, and if it were thoroughly understood in England, the pressure towards its adoption would be very great, but we should, on the whole prefer to see drop into oblivion. The political dangers involved in it, or at least the political efforts required by its success, would be most severe, perhaps overwhelmingly so. We could not carry out the plan against her formidable foes, the most dangerous of whom is France. The Siamese power, though respectable, is dying fast, and it will take a generation so to organise the Shans that we can move an army to her protection without further exhausting our own strictly limited supply of men. After we had created a vast trade, we should never be safe without a strict alliance with China; and we do not know the price at which the Court of Pekin would be willing to give us the confidence which would be almost indispensable. Above all, we should place ourselves at once in the position the Germans had so inappropriate, that of liability to combined attack from France and Russia at once. We are always swallowing something, and always doubting if conscience should not compel us to be sick. Yet if we understand Asiatic politics at all, that is the prospect we must face if we accept Sir Richard Temple's project, with all the potentialities of wealth included in it. We acknowledge to the full the magnitude of the prize offered, and the possibility of acquiring it; but we deliberately think that in the present state of our resources in men, and with British opinion so fluctuating as it is, the inevitable price to be paid is much too great. It is, however, right that the British people should know what enormous trade advantages lie within their grasp, and we have therefore given Sir Richard Temple's great proposal all the publicity in our power.

ENGLAND'S GREAT GUNS.

England is at the present moment the possessor of three 110 ton (or, more properly, 111 ton) breech-loading guns. One of these was recently placed on board the Benbow, an ironclad which is not yet ready for sea; a second will probably be placed on board the same vessel during the current month; and the third is to be kept in reserve in case of any accident befalling either of the others. Each of these big guns is 43ft. 8in. in length; the diameter of the bore is 16·25 in.; the length of the powder-chamber is 8·45 in.; and the external diameter of the breech is considerably over 5 ft. The gun throws a projectile weighing 1,800 lb., and the service charge is 900 lb. of powder. Our three huge weapons are possessions of which Great Britain may reasonably feel proud. They are triumphs of constructive skill, and they are the most powerful weapons of their kind in existence. Yet people who know something about modern guns would be more satisfied than they now are if, in addition to these three monsters—none of which can for some time to come be sent to sea—the country were provided with a few immediately available guns of really great power. Some day, no doubt, if all goes well, the Sans Pareil and the Victoria will also be supplied with 110-ton guns; and the Nile, Trafalgar, Camperdown, Anson, Howe, and Rodney will carry guns of 67 or 69 tons; but at present the heaviest breech-loader that we can send to sea weighs only 45 tons. Our immediately available breech-loaders of any importance are, in fact, very few and, comparatively speaking, very small. Of 44 and 45 ton guns we have about twelve, and of 22 and 24 ton guns about an equal number. Of the rest of our breech-loading naval artillery no gun weighs more than about 6 tons. We have plenty of weapons of this and of smaller kinds, and they are certainly useful pieces. But so long as other [illegible] superior to ours in the matter of [illegible] we shall—without prejudice to the [illegible] that is paid for them—be compelled to [illegible] the interests of Great Britain are properly cared for.

In order to demonstrate our inferiority in this respect, we need only set down [illegible] approximate number of heavy [illegible] could to-morrow be sent to sea [illegible] Powers. Here is the list:—

	Over 38 tons.	70-98 tons.	45-67 tons.	20-45 tons.
Great Britain	0	0	0	12
France	0	3	9	0
Italy	8	0	9	0
Germany	0	0	11	48
Russia	0	0	0	24
Austria	[illegible]	0	0	14

It is contended that the above represents the real proportionate strength of the naval ordnance of the various countries. We have in our service a number of old muzzle-loaders of 25, 35, 38, and 80 tons; and Italy has also 18, 25, and 100 ton guns of the same class. Yet it must be borne in mind that these heavy muzzle-loaders are not equal in range, penetration, and quickness of firing to breech-loaders of two-thirds of their weight or less; and that, since every naval Power has now finally discarded the muzzle-loading principle, muzzle-loaders may be regarded as obsolete weapons. Nor is it contended that, weight for weight, all the foreign breech-loaders are as good as ours. But it is plain that we are lamentably short of modern heavy guns; and that, numerically, our naval artillery compares very much to its disadvantage with that of France, Germany and even Italy, whose force we have probably understated.—*St. James's Gazette.*

TRAMPS IN A CHATEAU.

An amusing case has just been tried at the Bouches du Rhone Assizes. A discharged criminal named Marot, after having left prison, was on the tramp in Provence, when he passed by a chateau which had been used by its wealthy owner as a kind of receptacle for old-fashioned furniture and pictures. The house was uninhabited; but it was visited and its contents were overhauled every three months by the owner's workmen. Marot went up to the door, and as his repeated knocks and r